

## The Mega-Terrorism - The Challenge of the Third Millennium

Daniel Andrișan

*Danubius University of Galați, Romania*

*daniel.andrisan@univ-danubius.ro*

**Abstract:** The aim of this article is to describe the “new face” of terrorism in the twenty-first century indicating the transition to mass destruction weapons (nuclear terrorism, chemical, biological), to ethnic-religious terrorism, the occurrence of massive gray area phenomena of terrorism and how this phenomenon became a strategic weapon. Mega-terrorism has been existing, at a conceptual level, ever since the 70’s, when the experts of this phenomenon tried to find a semantic cover for the situations that certain organizations, groups or terrorist or extremist sections would get some Weapons of Mass Destruction Systems. Actually, the 11th of September tragedy proves that mega-terrorism represents the premeditated destruction, the lack of negotiations, of a tactical goal that was to be accomplished by means of a threat. The mega-terrorism actors do not intend to get themselves known to the public, do not require anything, do not see the threat as means or device to reach their purpose. The 21 st century mega-terrorism simple logic is materialized in the systemic destroying of the enemy, no longer representing a political power or a government, but an entire ‘disobeying’, ‘corrupted’, ‘unfaithful’ population indifferent to the mega-terrorist actors’ desires. New dimension against mega-terrorism determines not only a rethinking of how to combat it, but also the realization of a conceptual legal framework and measures established to materialize the political decision.

**Keywords:** terrorism; weapon; defense, global security; intelligence

*“Our survival is entrusted to technology beyond our capacity to grasp its implications” (Henry Kissinger)*

In June 1994, a group of 41 experts from all counter-intelligence structures and the U.S. (and others) have worked for a Pentagon study entitled “Terrorism of 2000 - Forecast”. The study warned of the danger of terrorism and enhance its nature change, deepening the diversification motivation of terrorism, victims and the increasing asymmetry of actions and means used, ultimately shift from traditional terrorism / weapons and bombs based on super terrorism, neo-terrorism or mega-terrorism.

The study makes recommendations and describes the “new face / aspect” of terrorism in the twenty-first century, indicating: transition to the use super terrorism of mass destruction weapons (nuclear terrorism, chemical, biological);

transition to ethnic-religious terrorism; occurrence of massive gray area phenomena of terrorism (drug trafficking, weapons, people, money laundering, organized crime connection, for sponsoring terrorist activities); transforming terrorism into a strategic weapon; selection of targets at the State level, the U.S. appeared first. The predictions of the study and directions for future action neo-terrorism emphasize that in the twenty-first century terrorist actions will be spectacular, particularly large (ex declaration "Holy war" against Christians and Jews, the war of the many and poor against the rich), the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction (nuclear, chemical, biological, radiological) and cyber terrorism.

Conclusions of the study (which predicted that against U.S. and West will be launched terrorist attacks, including WMD=weapon of mass destruction, that domestic terrorism will cooperate with the international terrorism that the new general will have a new physiognomy and act unpredictably, including on American soil) were considered alarmist, disturbing and were "Buried" by those who ordered them. Later study, there were terrorist attacks that have failed to confirm super terrorism escalating to almost normal and now speaks about nuclear terrorism, chemical, biological, cyber, although devastating consequences of such attacks cannot yet assess one. A few years later, the report presented by Lieutenant General Patrick M. Hughes, Director of Information Department U.S. Defense, the U.S. president and Congress to September 1, 1999, stated that "global turmoil will continue and probably will get worse because the underlying causes - political, economic, social and technological, remain largely unchanged. For this reason we should expect from a framework in which threats, challenges and opportunities coexist, overlap and evolve, clearly especially the simultaneous occurrence of the crisis "small" can have "Network effect" forcing society to dissipate attention, power and resources and we would react messy, undermining our ability to foreshadow future threats, such as regional transnational and asymmetric."

To be clear, the director of the Information Agency U.S. Department of Defense to hold said: "Terrorism is still a significant threat, especially when used in terrorist weapons of mass destruction. "These gloomy predictions are, in our opinion, the first warning signals about the emergence of a qualitatively new phenomenon that it is mega terrorism. Although these warnings were released shortly before the events of 11 September 2001, most governments and civil society have not been able to understand the extent and implications of a terrorist nature. Many Democrats tended to treat terrorism as a phenomenon and a minor irritant. For this

reason many of the contemporary pluralist societies have not been able to motivate towards countering strong, coherent, synchronous to terrorism. A state is clearly this is the conceptual and moral confusion over what constitutes terrorism as a phenomenon and as an act. On the other hand, political motivations and justifications of moral, social, economic or religious have no relevance for the victims of terrorist acts. There mega terrorism concept level since the '70s, when theorists tried to find the phenomenon of semantic coverage for situations where certain organizations, groups or terrorist or extremist factions would come into possession of weapons of mass destruction. Of this point of view, mega terrorism was a restrictive current, limited to where terrorists threaten to use WMD (weapon of mass destruction) or would use them to achieve political goals, economic, social or ideological.

Mega-terrorism in the sense established ante September 11, 2001 represents only a "classical terrorist threats better armed credible". In fact, the event supported by the United States shows that mega terrorism is intentional destruction, lack of negotiation, the lack of a tactical objective which was to be achieved by uttering a threat. Mega-terrorist actors do not take to make themselves known to the public, not list of claims have not seen in a vehicle or a threat vector achieve the goal. Simple logic mega-terrorism XXI century is the systematic destruction of the opponent who is no longer a political power or government, but a population of "rebellious", "Corrupt", "faithless" or "indifferent" to the aspirations mega terrorists actors. "You hit the Americans through acts of terrorism is a religious duty, logic. We are grateful to Allah glorious because it helped us to carry on because of attacks America and Israel over the holy Islamic territory "Osama bin Laden comes in the newspaper "Nida ul-Islam" of 15 November 1996. Also mega-terrorists not trying to influence public opinion, secondary targets (audience) to create a current of opinion favorable to their cause. If the audience is not sensitive to the message proposed, it should be liquidated using all means at available, including ADM. So ultimately, coercion, terror and systematic murder of daily primary targets to influence the side, all these were replaced with logic mega terrorist total elimination of alleged obstacles.

The portrait of terrorist early period of the third millennium is similar to that of the nihilist Neciaev, Narodnaya Volya permanent member of the organization (People's Will). (Arădăvoaice, Gh., Naghi, Gabriel, Niță, Dan, 2002, p. 37 ). Revolutionary catechism, anarchists' philosophy, includes terror focused and turns them into a contemporary actor mega terrorism very special species. No matter

how satisfied they may appear, they are nothing but “clones” of immanent terror that accompanied humanity since the its inception. Mega terrorist has no personal sympathies, has no personal business, not emotions, attachments, or property name, everything in it is subject one goal, one passion - to destroy. His morality is all that contribute to the victory of his cause. Today, society faces monsters whose only illegal fulfillment and satisfaction is the horror of the world. Mega terrorist is capable of producing hecatombs with nuclear weapons systems, biological or chemical, is not unique threat faced by society.

Cyber-terrorism, and individual terrorism, extremists, eco-terrorists modern pirates, anarchist streets and the internet, all this mosaics can become destructive power of terrorist threats serious for the future. Mega-terrorism, considered by experts challenge the third millennium, is the terrorist actions and methods are used high-tech tools, weapons of mass destruction (chemical, biological, nuclear, radiological) and is not targeted a specific group of targets, thus acquiring the character of indiscriminate violence. (Müller, Harald, p.10) Although the international community faced multiple forms of terrorism, terrorist threat has not been characterized as one of the main threats to international security. Mega-terrorism and internationalization of terrorism have occurred but changes in its approach. For the next period, an increase of terrorist and diversify their means of production: a major role back probably means nuclear, chemical and biological and the computer, counting on the psychological impact on public opinion. Escalation of terrorism will certainly influence international relations, especially economic and social development of countries still in transition.

Against the background of major political changes globally, expansion of integration processes and development of new relations between states in which dialogue and partnership are essential, amplifying the increase of terrorism and the danger of terrorism, under the new form of mega-terrorism is the main feature of the new millennium. He hides under a variety of forms, according to Swiss newspaper *Le Temps* is “an impossible enemy”. (“*Le Temps*” Newspaper, 18 September, 2001). It works in the shadow network has spread to many states, a financial empire “has no targets high value on demand. It has no capital, has a central management, an army, an aircraft, a Marine military or economic objectives and easily identifiable.

An impossible enemy cannot retaliate but by means altogether different from the classic. He proved that has major implications and influences all areas of social life

and, especially, the way they are and will be employed military capabilities in the fight against terrorism". (Rumsfeld, 2002)

The terrorist attacks of 11 September 2001 on U.S. territory were the consecration of terrorism as a new form of unconventional and asymmetric warfare. With this, the spectrum war widens to include a atypical forms of conflict, are not covered so far, the identity actors tend to disappear, and the quality and quantity of information tends to replace the importance of quality and quantity of equipment and infrastructure of war. Under these conditions, terrorist acts are now considered as "acts of war". As such, status, perception and approach to these acts have changed considerably. If terrorism far was considered as a form of low intensity conflict, is located at the bottom end of the spectrum of armed conflict that could generate a war from now on terrorism, as a whole, is treated as "a new form of war", able to determine problems and cause consequences as a classic war. (Vasile & Coşcodaru, 2003, p. 98)

The most appropriate forms and methods of counter-terrorism concerns and concern for a long time factors responsible for security issues. Lord Robertson, former NATO secretary general, say that the war against terrorism involves a new approach: "It is a new concept, a different notion. Now we are dealing with people making use of techniques unimaginable until a few weeks ago. I think you have to think differently. It means I was gifted with so far are not useful for countering these threats dangerous future". (Robertson, 2002) "This war - warned Donald Rumsfeld - can be worn by a great alliance, united around a single project to fight a power hostile. The strategy should include variable geometry coalition countries, which can change and evolve. Some states will bring diplomatic support, others financial one other - one logistical and military" ("*Le Temps*" Newspaper, 2001), explains the Pentagon chief.

Terrorism is becoming more and more a phenomenon with global implications, difficult to control, prevent and manage. In the main terrorist threats that, in modern society, is part and can be used by the organizations, terrorist groups or individuals on the border of the club of nuclear countries and many actors or possible non-state actors and state, holders of means nuclear, chemical, radiological and biological weapons of mass destruction. Also, be prepared and made possible attacks on nuclear bases countries have such means, as well as enterprises chemical, biological etc. laboratories. To obtain nuclear weapons, chemical or biological weapons to detonate such a spot in space open / closed in

crowded areas or any place where they could find (On road and rail transport in nuclear reactors on board ships or aircraft, warehouses, etc.) And to produce spectacular disaster in the name of some ideology, the principles considered sacred or simply taking vocation to punish.

A comprehensive definition of terrorism, which is widely accepted and include all the features of this phenomenon has not yet been developed, although there are a number of approaches. However keep out the definition used by the U.S., which is based definition formulated by James Adams in 1980, which states: "Terrorism means the threat of violence or use violence for by individuals or political groups of individuals, whether acting for or against existing governmental authority, when these actions are intended to shock, frighten or upset a target group wider than the immediate victims. Terrorism is related to groups of individuals who seek the overthrow of political regimes, correct social deficiencies, perceived by those groups and the erosion of order international policy. "Americans see that the definition is not complete, at least in terms of the aims attack of September 11, 2001, demonstrating that the organizers have actually executed a large punitive action proportions.

Thus, the definition given by Watson in 1976, together with that made by Wilkinson in the same year has a more complex, but also incomplete. It is formulated as follows: "Terrorism is a political strategy, a method whereby an organized group trying to draw attention to its goals or to obtain concessions for them by systematic and deliberate use of violence. This is a form of War clandestine, unreported and unconventional, worn without any rules or limitations humanitarian. "The new type of terrorist action marks a turning point in terrorist phenomenon the beginning of the XXI century and is distinguished by the appearance of classic forms of terrorism planning at strategic level actions (action limits, objectives, forces and means used) and the organization.

The extent of terrorist activities tend to become more significant at a variety of parallel actions (several simultaneous attacks) and the conjugation of different origins attacks (cyber attacks, suicide hijacking aircraft or use of weapons of mass destruction) that are part of a large plan, designed to destabilize the long term objectives. Have highlighted the fact that actions are planned in close cooperation between different actors, supporters of terrorist activities (states, organizations around the globe, individual actors).

New type of terrorist attacks are not claimed and therefore not bring with it demands (integriste, secessionist, economic, political) which makes it difficult (if not impossible) to counter the phenomenon, actions being taken by an enemy who wishes to remain anonymous seeking among other things, eliminating any possibility of negotiation. It is relevant to the increasing overlap of terrorism and the phenomenon of organized crime, the latter being promoted to finance activities of the former.

All these elements lead to the conclusion that new terrorist phenomenon known an unprecedented development, applying techniques grooming and cyber information and stabilization techniques, previously unused. On the other hand, attacks in the U.S., Turkey, Spain, Iraq and elsewhere, the phenomenon of terrorism is not strictly political connotations religious, but a larger scale, which is “an attack of barbarism against civilization and democracy.” The conflict between counterterrorism and terrorism marks a “war” between a company open, penetrating and a dark, obscure, acting from the shadows and whose representatives remain, at least for a while, anonymous. Terrorism has different forms of evolution: the most disturbing and impossible is not necessarily the use of weapons of mass destruction - chemical, biological or nuclear.

Their use is not an invention of contemporary actors of terrorism and the less promoters of the “new terrorism”. Michael Renner noted sadly that “murder, exploitation and destruction of the blind have been practiced in our century so ruthless and a huge scale that was necessary for inventing words to describe them. The term “genocide” was coined in 1944 to cover the semantic action deliberate and systematic destruction of a social or cultural group. Overkill (over killing) was used for the first time 1957 to describe the destruction of a target with greater force than necessary.

The term “overkill” appeared as a necessity once the sizing conceptual arsenals of weapons of mass destruction created by the two antagonistic military blocs existing until the '90s. The balance of terror did not take account of actors “additional” or “minor” that had been able to access the “nuclear club” of major powers. However, minor partners have found their solution to acquire WMD, using biological factors, chemical or radiological. It is clear that most countries have economic and military potential to enable them purchase or manufacture of weapons of mass destruction and “need” their transmission has been proven countless times throughout history. For this reason mega terrorism appearance, is the use of WMD by factions or

terrorist organizations no longer than the descent from the plateau state at sub-state of society Arsenal human is already common, after over 40 years' balance terror". This is possible if mega-terrorists solve the problem of acquisition of these types of weapons. Problems of conscience not represents an obstacle to their use against innocent people since 1995, when the Tokyo subway was spread an agent lethal neurotoxic - sarin. Regarding the possibility of purchase of materials which can be used in the manufacture of WMD by terrorists, it is quite easy.

Today is market including a wide range of chemical and biological able to cause death, namely: various insecticides, industrial chemicals, toxins like ricin so strong. Many total pathogen products can be ordered by mail from various providers scientific products, others may be harvested or cultivated in kind if those concerned have relatively limited knowledge in this field. Another area it is important to purchase military stocks in countries in crisis, such as those of the former Soviet Union. Threat of attack by weapons of mass destruction cannot be ignored. Risk already exists - significantly increased - by about twenty years. In 1984, the Bhopal disaster of 2500 is dead following a failure occurred at a pesticide plant. The explosion of tanks LPG is 4280 deaths in Mexico, the same year. Although terrorism was their origin, these events show how companies have targeted vulnerable. What is accident today, tomorrow can happen voluntarily. The first real case of using chemical agents by terrorists is recent. In March 1995, Aum sect, has decided to spread the Tokyo subway sarin gas-filled bags, six or seven gallons of a approximately 30% purity. Each team is acting person charged with an umbrella tip sting bag. Trains arrived four minutes covered at Kasumigaseki station, in a morning traffic.

This neighborhood, is where is all government buildings and the National Police Agency. Attack causing 12 deaths and over 5,500 wounded - the gas spreads affect passenger cars and 16 stations along the way. This target, a millennial sect upheaval of Japanese society and even took power. After attacks in Tokyo, police conducted searches at the premises of the sect and found a large amount of chemicals entering the composition not only sarin gas, VX gas well (sarin analogue, but 300 times more toxic), mustard gas and biological agents. Almost 25 attempts to use biological or chemical agents materials, are cited in the literature accessible to all, ranging from simple threats to the very serious attempt. The advantage of using such weapons is the degree of very high toxicity.



Assessments differ: botulinum toxin A for the average lethal dose would be several tens of milligrams, has been described as the most deadly substance known. According to experts, it would be a thousand times more deadly than nerve agents. A few grams could kill 60 million people. Other sources indicate that bacteria of carbon (carbon foot) would be more deadly. Another advantage is that these weapons are hardly detectable and can be transported easily. Of course, the media would finally look primarily to impress people and not let governments indifferent. Regarding nuclear terrorism threat that terrorists are numerous ways you can take to get to use the enormous destructive potential of nuclear energy to attack targets covered: construction of a device and its use for purposes of blackmail; sabotage of nuclear reactors and the onset of type Chernobyl disaster; placing car bombs with nuclear warheads; theft of nuclear devices for detonation or blackmail; the use of radioactive dust for water or food contamination.

At this point it is estimated that there are 400 operational nuclear devices and nuclear reactors plus numerous laboratories in 58 countries. There is a danger that they become the target of terrorist attacks. The Soviet Union caused great concern regarding the possibility that Russia and the states that have emerged from the former union to expand production of weapons. On the other hand, there are concerns in ability to control the nuclear stockpile. Russia has complained about the disappearance of their arsenals, more than 100 nuclear devices size of suitcases, weapons that were designed for use special forces fighters, they could easily place in the target data. Each weapon of this kind may cause the death of over 100,000 people and destroying a whole city center. In these circumstances the possibility of "leakage" of warheads, vectors sophisticated performance or assemblies to different beneficiaries is quite probable. Tactical nuclear weapons problem is even worse. In the same former Soviet silos are between 25 and 35,000 nuclear missiles artillery and tactical missiles landmines.

Russian Federation claims that recovered about 15,000 such warheads, but nobody can say how many were lost or "hidden". Danger of these weapons is that they had no security system, any being able to detonate. In fact, reliable sources in Russia and the U.S. are known that some Russian officers have negotiated sales of these weapons. Thus the "People's Mojahedin", the main Iranian opposition group, said that Iran was able to procure four nuclear warheads tactical in Kazakhstan. Examples which may follow with Azerbaijan six nuclear weapons threaten Armenia, South Ossetia (breakaway region of Georgia) gruzine paramilitary groups threatened with nuclear weapons, etc. Besides the huge quantities of nuclear

material, terrorists targeted recruitment equally high-class specialists, capable of build such weapons and which now are either stateless or underpaid or unemployed. Although the above examples can be classified by some as propaganda or political bluff, that so far have been identified over 150 cases of illegal traffic of radioactive materials (100 cases only in Austria, Germany and Belarus) confirms 1975 warning of nuclear expert Thomas Schelling, then: "In 1999 we will no longer be able to regulate nuclear weapons in the world today than that we can control how much heroin or pornography. "Regarding nuclear terrorism component, it does not longer a potential threat, but a daily fact.

According to material from "The Economist", "there were over 50 attempts to extort money by threatening nuclear U.S., some frighteningly credible."Promoted nuclear terror organizations, insurgent groups or factions is infinitely more dangerous than the former "balance of terror" of antagonistic powers of the Cold War as an opponent not may be deterred by the threat of nuclear retaliation if that opponent has to defend a society defined. Warnings have already started to be present. Following a telephone anonymous, the authorities in Moscow have discovered in a downtown park, a container of radioactive material placed by Chechen resistance. It was not a bomb, but could be. This event occurs only two years after a mentally ill had managed to penetrate the security system of atomic-nuclear plant from "Three Miles Island" and to break turbine tower that housed machine.

At the moment, it seems more dangerous the Islamic movement responsible for terrorist events held last in the world. Interest of new terrorists to weapons of mass destruction has emerged strongly in the '90s. Thus in 1998, FBI Director Louis Freeh, the U.S. Senate declared that his department investigated more than 100 attempts by various terrorists of WMD only in 1997. A year before, in 1996, the number of cases was three times lower. Louis Freeh same declared in 1996 that the agency faced with a threatening letter almost every day regarding the possibility of using bacteriological weapons. The two important U.S. agencies, the CIA and FBI have assembled a considerable amount of information material on sympathy for terrorist groups to weapons of mass destruction. Of these, Jihad Islamic Palestinian, Hezbollah, G.I.A. Algerian, Egyptian Islamic Jihad, Hamas, Chechen terrorists and the Sikh, the Kurdish PKK, Red Kmeries Cambodia and Tamil Tigers prove most interested in WMD. Afghan campaign against the Taliban and against Al-Qaeda network has shown that they were testing laboratories and training polygons with ADM, both in Pakistan and in Afghanistan, especially given that a single nuclear

terrorist act directed against an American city can be evaluated as specialists, more dangerous and destructive to the strength and vitality than any other weapon system imagined. Experts have repeatedly stressed the risk of uncontrolled proliferation of nuclear weapons and as a consequence, increased terrorist threat such weapons.

The risk of escalation to nuclear terrorism the subject of high level talks, both political and academic. Romania's nuclear capabilities might be the target of a terrorist attack, said President of the National Commission for the Control of Activities Nuclear (CNCAN), Lucian Biro in the international seminar on Combat Nuclear Terrorism, held in Bucharest on 8-12 November 2004. "As a U.S. ally in the fight against terrorism we must expect Romania to become a target for terrorists and therefore we must take all necessary measures to remove such a risk. "Seminar organized by the International Energy Agency Atomic (A.I.E.A.) in collaboration with C.N.C.A.N. brought together about 130 experts from Bulgaria, Croatia, Greece, Macedonia, Moldova, Slovakia, Slovenia, Ukraine and Hungary.

As a consequence of the attacks of September 2001, IAEA decided that in cooperation with Member States to improve the measures it submitted to the large gaps between the nuclear threat and measures that apply currently. In this conference, C.N.C.A.N. of The way necessary, which can be removed the threat of nuclear terrorism in our country, covering both legislative measures, and practical measures. "After September 11, 2001 there was a massive concern to the international community to prevent and combat attacks terrorist use of facilities and nuclear materials.

At the level of General Director of A.I.E.A., doctor Mohamed El-Baradei, has initiated a working group to pursue measures that the agency must meet in order to have a coherent policy in preventing and combating nuclear terrorism. November prevention and control illicit trafficking in nuclear materials and generally in terms of physical protection of nuclear facilities"- he said Lucian Biro. Nuclear terrorism is a real threat and it is necessary reconsideration of hazardous material control system, said El-Baradei on November 8, 2004, in opening a conference on nuclear proliferation in the Asia-Pacific. In his opinion, how to control fissile materials must be radically revised. Activity A.I.E.A. about the possible development programs in Libya and Iran showed the existence of a vast black market of radioactive products, with 630 confirmed cases of trafficking in nuclear and radioactive products since 1993, the IAEA chief said, noting that this market

“thrives obviously due to demand.” The relative ease with which could have organized an international network of illicit demonstrates that the current system of export control of radioactive substances is inadequate, El-Baradei also said. According to this, over 20 companies and individuals from many countries were involved in trafficking discovered by the agency and, in most of cases, operations were conducted without the knowledge of governments. Trafficking in arms and strategic goods is part of the higher degree of risk to the safety and stability of the Sea Black extended, increased smuggling weapons of mass destruction and conventional stimulated by political and social changes world countries and the increasing role of mafia groups in post-Soviet space.

Against this background and the prospect of financial returns considerable, some organizations have multiplied criminal actions purchase and sale of conventional weapons, and technologies, components and materials necessary to manufacture weapons of mass destruction. A particularly volatile meets in Transnistria, Province becoming an attractive market for organized crime and terrorist groups can acquire weapons of any kind, including missiles or cargo radiological. Also, Transnistria is in sight Islamic fundamentalist groups, Hezbollah, Muslim Brotherhood and Mujahedeen-e Khalq, which sought to identify possible places of training, weapons procurement and the involvement of local firms Islamist militants to transport hot areas of the Middle East or North Caucasus.

The potential impact of terrorism on the world order is becoming obvious because of the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction in recent years due to weakening the authority of international organizations and national factors responsible for the administration of the State in terms of control activities of producing such weapons and exports strategic products. According to the American press CIA analyst citing the former head of the unit that deals with the pursuit of bin Laden, leader of the terrorist network Al-Qaeda Islamist clerics received approval to use nuclear weapons against the U.S. “Islamists consider the U.S. responsible for killing millions of Muslims around the world, so that approves an action that would result in the deaths of millions of Americans - CIA agent said Michael Scheur, and continue if they get the right weapon, whether they will use it chemical, biological or nuclear weapons. “Rapid growth of attacks aimed at producing huge material damage and a significant number of innocent victims is proof that the alleged psychological threshold “over killing” is about to be exceeded.

Since the early years of the third millennium, we witness a process of giving up any kind of ban on humanitarian mass murder, even if acting on behalf of actors mega-terrorism moral or religious commandments. All these terrorist groups no longer ordered arrangements proposed target in the negotiations or execution of public pressure, rallying to their cause it to, but just total destruction of real or imagined enemies: political regimes, population “Infidel” society “corrupt” etc.

There is thus cause for concern. Equipment needed to set up terrorist threat is obvious to reach the last terrorist events have demonstrated that terrorists have become professionals increasingly ruthless, equally sophisticated and operational competence. “We are ready for anything. We obey the will of Allah “said Osama bin Laden in one of his interviews given to a journalist Arab. New dimension against terrorism (mega-terrorism) determines not only a rethinking of how to combat it, but also the realization of a conceptual legal framework and action necessary measures established to materialize the political decision. Dispersing and concealing terrorists, novelty and unpredictability of the actions undertaken by them, the increased possibilities to using means of mass destruction, along with increased lethality terrorist acts, gives a high degree of difficulty in identifying and dismantling terrorist elements.

Terrorism “the disease of the twenty-first century” as he called Putin “has evolved so much that science should investigate it from” a “through to” Z “to do so coordinated, synchronized, with the participation of all areas and its branches”. “Nothing will be like before” appreciate a daily, (Cândea, 2002, p. 3.) and the characterization given the terrorist attacks “or mega-terrorism, hyper terrorism stresses that aggression is so great that is unlike anything known to the present. So great, that it can be given a name. Attempt?, Attack?, Act of war”. “However the third millennium began catastrophic, as we will see will end, although many analysts, believes that well-known writers will be the same as the beginning”. (Andreescu, 2002, p. 7.)

## **Bibliography**

Andreescu, A. (2002). *Revista de Științe Militare/Review of Military Sciences*, Volume 2, p. 7, Bucharest.

Arădăvoaice, Gh. & Naghi, G. & Niță, D. (2002). *Sfârșitul terorismului?/The end of terrorism ?* p. 37, Bucharest: Antet.

Coșcodaru, I. & Vasile, P. (2003). *Centrele de putere ale lumii/The world's power poles*. Bucharest.

*RELATIONES INTERNATIONALES*

---

- Câdea, V. (2002). *Revista de Științe Militare/Review of Military Sciences*, Volume 1, p. 3, Bucharest.
- Chaliand, G. (2001). *Conflicte și amenințări în zona mileniului III/Conflicts and threats of the third millennium*, p. 68-71. Bucharest: Corint.
- Colin, G. S. (1997). *The Geopolitics of the Nuclear Era*. New York: Crane, Russak & Co.
- Lacoste, Y. (1995). *Dictionnaire de Géopolitique/Dictionary of Geopolitics*. Paris: Flammarion.
- Primakov, E. (2003). *Lumea după 11 septembrie/The world after the 11<sup>th</sup> September*. Bucharest: Institutului Cultural Român.
- Ramonet, I. (1998). *Geopolitica haosului/ The Geopolitics of Chaos*. Bucharest: Dona.
- Robertson, G. (2002). *Reuniunea miniștrilor apărării în țările NATO/Informal Reunion of Defense Ministers of NATO member states*. Bruxelles.
- Simileanu, V. (2004). *Radiografia terorismului/ The terrorism radiography*. Bucharest: Top Forum.