

## The behavior of a polynomial regression under a variables transformation

Cătălin Angelo IOAN<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** The paper treats a problem of a great importance in the author's view relatively to the behavior of polynomial regressions to linear transformations of the variables. If, from a theoretical point of view, the estimation of a process using regressions give the same results, regardless of the size of the exogenous variable, from a practical standpoint the results are not quite so.

**Keywords:** regression, polynomial.

### 1 Introduction

Let consider a real dataset  $(x_i, y_i)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$  and a polynomial regression of order  $m \geq 1$  which will approximate through the least squares method the given set.

Let therefore  $f(x) = a_m x^m + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  with unknown coefficients  $a_i$ ,  $i = \overline{0, m}$  to be determined from the condition that:

$$(1) \sum_{i=1}^n (a_m x_i^m + \dots + a_1 x_i + a_0 - y_i)^2 = \text{minimum}$$

$$\text{Let } F(a_m, \dots, a_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_m x_i^m + \dots + a_1 x_i + a_0 - y_i)^2.$$

First, let us note that:

$$(2) \frac{\partial F}{\partial a_k} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k (a_m x_i^m + \dots + a_1 x_i + a_0 - y_i), \quad k = \overline{0, m}$$

$$(3) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial a_k \partial a_p} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{k+p}, \quad k, p = \overline{0, m}$$

Considering the quadratic form:

$$H_F = 2 \sum_{k,p=0}^m \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{k+p} da_k da_p = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k,p=0}^m x_i^{k+p} da_k da_p = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=0}^m x_i^k da_k \right)^2 \quad \text{we can see that}$$

$H_F > 0$  so  $F$  is strictly convex. As a result of strict convexity, it follows that if  $F$  has a local minimum point, it is unique.

The local minimum necessary condition for  $F$  is:  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial a_k} = 0$ ,  $k = \overline{0, m}$ . We have then:

$$(4) 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k (a_m x_i^m + \dots + a_1 x_i + a_0 - y_i) = 0, \quad k = \overline{0, m}$$

from where:

<sup>1</sup> PhD Associate Professor, Danubius University of Galati, Department of Economics, [catalin\\_angelo\\_ioan@univ-danubius.ro](mailto:catalin_angelo_ioan@univ-danubius.ro)

$$(5) \ a_m \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m+k} + \dots + a_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{1+k} + a_0 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i^k, \ k = \overline{0, m}$$

The resulting system has solutions (polynomial regression coefficients of order m) ([2]):

$$(6) \ a_k = \frac{\begin{matrix} \text{column } m - k + 1 \\ \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n y_i & \dots & n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m+1} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2m} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m y_i & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m \end{array} \right| \end{matrix}}{\begin{matrix} \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k & \dots & n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m+1} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{k+1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2m} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{k+m} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m \end{array} \right| \end{matrix}}, \ k = \overline{0, m}$$

## 2 The determination of regression coefficients at linear transformations

Now consider linear transformations of the exogenous and endogenous variables. Let therefore:

- $x_i \rightarrow \alpha x_i + \beta, \ i = \overline{1, n}, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}, \ \alpha \neq 0;$
- $y_i \rightarrow \mu y_i + v, \ i = \overline{1, n}, \ \mu, v \in \mathbf{R}, \ \mu \neq 0$

and the function:

$$(7) \ G(b_m, \dots, b_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n (b_m (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m + \dots + b_1 (\alpha x_i + \beta) + b_0 - \mu y_i - v)^2, \quad \alpha, \beta, \mu, v \in \mathbf{R},$$

$$\alpha, \mu \neq 0$$

We have:

$$(8) \ G(b_m, \dots, b_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( c_m x_i^m + \dots + c_1 x_i + c_0 - v - \mu y_i \right)^2 = \mu^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{c_m}{\mu} x_i^m + \dots + \frac{c_1}{\mu} x_i + \frac{c_0 - v}{\mu} - y_i \right)^2$$

where:

$$(9) \quad c_k = \sum_{j=k}^m C_j^k \alpha^k \beta^{j-k} b_j, \quad k = \overline{0, m}$$

From the uniqueness of local minimum point, follows:

$$(10) \quad c_k = \mu a_k + v \delta_{k0}, \quad k = \overline{0, m}$$

where  $\delta_{ij}=1$  if  $i=j$  and  $\delta_{ij}=0$  if  $i \neq j$  is the Kronecker's symbol.

From the above formulas follows:

$$(11) \quad \sum_{j=k}^m C_j^k \alpha^k \beta^{j-k} b_j = \mu a_k + v \delta_{k0}, \quad k = \overline{0, m}$$

Considering the polynomial function:  $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j$  we have:

$$(12) \quad P^{(k)}(x) = k! \sum_{j=k}^m C_j^k b_j x^{j-k} = \frac{k!}{x^k} \sum_{j=k}^m C_j^k b_j x^j$$

Therefore:

$$\mu a_k + v \delta_{k0} = \sum_{j=k}^m C_j^k \alpha^k \beta^{j-k} b_j = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k \sum_{j=k}^m C_j^k b_j \beta^j = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k \frac{\beta^k P^{(k)}(\beta)}{k!} = \alpha^k \frac{P^{(k)}(\beta)}{k!}$$

from where:

$$(13) \quad a_k = \frac{\alpha^k}{\mu} \frac{P^{(k)}(\beta)}{k!} - \frac{v}{\mu} \delta_{k0}, \quad k = \overline{0, m}$$

Similarly, considering the changes of variables:

- $x_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha} x_i - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \quad i = \overline{1, n}$
- $y_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu} y_i - \frac{v}{\mu}, \quad i = \overline{1, n}$

for  $Q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j$  we obtain the formulas:

$$(14) \quad b_k = \frac{\mu}{\alpha^k} \frac{Q^{(k)}\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}{k!} - v \delta_{k0}, \quad k = \overline{0, m}$$

For  $x' \in \mathbf{R}$  the estimation from the regression becomes:  $Q(x')$  and after the transformation of the form:

- $x_i \rightarrow \alpha x_i + \beta, \quad i = \overline{1, n};$
- $y_i \rightarrow \mu y_i + v, \quad i = \overline{1, n}$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\alpha x' + \beta) &= \sum_{k=0}^m b_k (\alpha x' + \beta)^k = \sum_{k=0}^m \left( \frac{\mu}{\alpha^k} \frac{Q^{(k)}\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}{k!} - v \delta_{k0} \right) (\alpha x' + \beta)^k = \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha^k \left( \frac{\mu}{\alpha^k} \frac{Q^{(k)}\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}{k!} - v \delta_{k0} \right) \left( x' + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^k = \sum_{k=0}^m \left( \frac{\mu Q^{(k)}\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}{k!} - v \alpha^k \delta_{k0} \right) \left( x' + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^k = \\
&= \mu \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{Q^{(k)}\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}{k!} \left( x' + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^k + \left( \mu Q\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) - v \right) = \\
&= \mu \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{Q^{(k)}\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}{k!} \left( x' + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^k - \mu Q\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) + \left( \mu Q\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) - v \right) = \\
&= \mu Q\left(x'\right) - v
\end{aligned}$$

We have therefore from the Taylor series expansion of  $Q$  in the point:

$$\left(-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right):$$

$$(15) \quad P(\alpha x' + \beta) = \mu Q(x') - v$$

Therefore, at a linear transformation of the variable exogenous and at endogenous variables invariance ( $\mu=1, v=0$ ), the expected value remains the same.

At a linear transformation of the endogenous variable, the estimated value will change multiplicative with the appropriate factor and additive with the opposite of the translation of endogenous data.

### 3 The determination of polynomial regression coefficients based on linear transformations of variables

Let us note first:

$$(16) \quad \Delta(x_i) = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m-1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k & \cdots & n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m+1} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{k+1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2m} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2m-1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{k+m} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m \end{vmatrix}$$

column m - k + 1

$$(17) \quad \Delta_k(x_i, y_i) = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n y_i & \dots & n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m+1} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2m} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{2m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m y_i & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m \end{vmatrix}, k = \overline{0, m}$$

So we have:

$$(18) \quad a_k = \frac{\Delta_k(x_i, y_i)}{\Delta(x_i)}$$

Let us note also:

$$(19) \quad t_k = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k, s_k = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k y_i$$

After the formula of determinants development, we get:

$$\Delta(\alpha x_i + \beta) = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^k & \dots & n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m+1} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{k+1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{k+m} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^m C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} \beta^j & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} C_{m-1}^j \alpha^{m-1-j} x_i^{m-1-j} \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^0 C_0^j \alpha^{0-j} x_i^{0-j} \beta^j \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} C_{m+1}^j \alpha^{m+1-j} x_i^{m+1-j} \beta^j & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^m C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^1 C_1^j \alpha^{1-j} x_i^{1-j} \beta^j \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_{2m}^j \alpha^{2m-j} x_i^{2m-j} \beta^j & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} C_{2m-1}^j \alpha^{2m-1-j} x_i^{2m-1-j} \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^m C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} \beta^j \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} \beta^j & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_{m-1}^j \alpha^{m-1-j} x_i^{m-1-j} \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_0^j \alpha^{0-j} x_i^{0-j} \beta^j \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_{m+1}^j \alpha^{m+1-j} x_i^{m+1-j} \beta^j & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_1^j \alpha^{1-j} x_i^{1-j} \beta^j \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_{2m}^j \alpha^{2m-j} x_i^{2m-j} \beta^j & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_{2m-1}^j \alpha^{2m-1-j} x_i^{2m-1-j} \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} \beta^j \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) \left( \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{j_1=0}^{2m} C_{m-1+\sigma(1)}^{j_1} \alpha^{m-1+\sigma(1)-j_1} x_{i_1}^{m-1+\sigma(1)-j_1} \beta^{j_1} \right) \left( \sum_{i_2=1}^n \sum_{j_2=0}^{2m} C_{m-2+\sigma(2)}^{j_2} \alpha^{m-2+\sigma(2)-j_2} x_{i_2}^{m-2+\sigma(2)-j_2} \beta^{j_2} \right) \dots$$

$$\left( \sum_{i_{m+1}=1}^n \sum_{j_{m+1}=0}^{2m} C_{-1+\sigma(m+1)}^{j_{m+1}} \alpha^{-1+\sigma(m+1)-j_{m+1}} x_{i_{m+1}}^{-1+\sigma(m+1)-j_{m+1}} \beta^{j_{m+1}} \right) =$$

$$\alpha^{m(m+1)} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}=1}^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m+1}=0}^{2m} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) C_{m-1+\sigma(1)}^{j_1} C_{m-2+\sigma(2)}^{j_2} \dots C_{-1+\sigma(m+1)}^{j_{m+1}} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} j_k} x_{i_1}^{m-1+\sigma(1)-j_1} x_{i_2}^{m-2+\sigma(2)-j_2} \dots x_{i_{m+1}}^{-1+\sigma(m+1)-j_{m+1}}$$

where we noted  $C_a^b = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$  with the convention that  $C_a^b = 0$  if  $b > a$  and  $C_0^0 = 1$ .

Let also mention that  $\varepsilon(\sigma)$  is the signature of the permutation  $\sigma \in S_{m+1}$  – the group of permutations of order  $m+1$  and we have:  $\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \sigma(k) = \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$ .

With the above notations, we have:

$$\Delta(\alpha x_i + \beta) = \alpha^{m(m+1)} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m+1}=0}^{2m} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) C_{m-1+\sigma(1)}^{j_1} C_{m-2+\sigma(2)}^{j_2} \dots C_{-1+\sigma(m+1)}^{j_{m+1}} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} j_k} t_{m-1+\sigma(1)-j_1} t_{m-2+\sigma(2)-j_2} \dots t_{-1+\sigma(m+1)-j_{m+1}}$$

In particular ( $\alpha=1, \beta=0$ ):

$$(20) \quad \Delta(x_i) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) x_{i_1}^{m-1+\sigma(1)} x_{i_2}^{m-2+\sigma(2)} \dots x_{i_{m+1}}^{-1+\sigma(m+1)} =$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) t_{m-1+\sigma(1)} t_{m-2+\sigma(2)} \dots t_{-1+\sigma(m+1)}$$

Analogously:

$$\Delta_k(\alpha x_i + \beta, \mu y_i + \nu) = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu y_i + \nu) & \dots & n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m+1} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)(\mu y_i + \nu) & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m (\mu y_i + \nu) & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m \end{vmatrix} =$$

column  $m - k + 1$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \mu y_i & \dots & n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m+1} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta) \mu y_i & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m \mu y_i & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m \end{vmatrix} +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m-1} & \dots & v n & \dots & n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m+1} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \dots & v \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta) & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m-1} & \dots & v \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m \end{array} \right| = \\
 & \mu \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n y_i & \dots & n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{m+1} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta) y_i & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^{2m-1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m y_i & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta)^m \end{array} \right| = \\
 & \mu \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} \beta^j & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_{m-1}^j \alpha^{m-1-j} x_i^{m-1-j} \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_0^j \alpha^{0-j} x_i^{0-j} y_i \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_0^j \alpha^{0-j} x_i^{0-j} \beta^j \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_{m+1}^j \alpha^{m+1-j} x_i^{m+1-j} \beta^j & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_1^j \alpha^{1-j} x_i^{1-j} y_i \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_1^j \alpha^{1-j} x_i^{1-j} \beta^j \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_{2m}^j \alpha^{2m-j} x_i^{2m-j} \beta^j & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_{2m-1}^j \alpha^{2m-1-j} x_i^{2m-1-j} \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} y_i \beta^j & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2m} C_m^j \alpha^{m-j} x_i^{m-j} \beta^j \end{array} \right| = \\
 & \mu \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) \left( \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{j_1=0}^{2m} C_{m-1+\sigma(1)}^{j_1} \alpha^{m-1+\sigma(1)-j_1} x_{i_1}^{m-1+\sigma(1)-j_1} \beta^{j_1} \right) \left( \sum_{i_2=1}^n \sum_{j_2=0}^{2m} C_{m-2+\sigma(2)}^{j_2} \alpha^{m-2+\sigma(2)-j_2} x_{i_2}^{m-2+\sigma(2)-j_2} \beta^{j_2} \right) \dots \\
 & \left( \sum_{i_{m-k+1}=1}^n \sum_{j_{m-k+1}=0}^{2m} C_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)}^{j_{m-k+1}} \alpha^{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)-j_{m-k+1}} x_{i_{m-k+1}}^{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)-j_{m-k+1}} y_{i_{m-k+1}} \beta^{j_{m-k+1}} \right) \dots \\
 & \left( \sum_{i_{m+1}=1}^n \sum_{j_{m+1}=0}^{2m} C_{-1+\sigma(m+1)}^{j_{m+1}} \alpha^{-1+\sigma(m+1)-j_{m+1}} x_{i_{m+1}}^{-1+\sigma(m+1)-j_{m+1}} \beta^{j_{m+1}} \right) = \\
 & \mu \alpha^{m(m+1)-k} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m+1}=0}^{2m} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) C_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)}^{j_{m-k+1}} \prod_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} C_{m-t+\sigma(t)}^{j_t} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} j_k} s_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)-j_{m-k+1}} \prod_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} t_{m-t+\sigma(t)-j_t}
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular  $(\alpha=1, \beta=0, \mu=1, v=0)$ :

$$(21) \quad \Delta_k(x_i, y_i) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) t_{m-1+\sigma(1)} t_{m-2+\sigma(2)} \dots s_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)} \dots t_{-1+\sigma(m+1)}$$

#### 4 Error theory notions

Considering a real value  $x$  and an estimate of its  $\bar{x}$  the absolute error is defined as:

$$e_x = x - \bar{x} \text{ and the relative error: } \varepsilon_x = \frac{e_x}{x}.$$

Let two values  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ . We have then ([1]):

- $e_{x+y} = e_x + e_y$
- $e_{x-y} = e_x - e_y$
- $e_{xy} = \bar{x}e_y + \bar{y}e_x$
- $e_{\frac{x}{y}} = \frac{1}{y}e_x - \frac{\bar{x}}{y^2}e_y$
- $\varepsilon_{x+y} = \frac{\bar{x}}{x+y}\varepsilon_x + \frac{\bar{y}}{x+y}\varepsilon_y$
- $\varepsilon_{x-y} = \frac{\bar{x}}{x-y}\varepsilon_x - \frac{\bar{y}}{x-y}\varepsilon_y$
- $\varepsilon_{xy} = \varepsilon_x + \varepsilon_y$
- $\varepsilon_{\frac{x}{y}} = \varepsilon_x - \varepsilon_y$

From the above formulas, it follows inductively:

- $e_{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{x_i} \quad \forall x_i \in \mathbf{R}, i = \overline{1, n}$
- $e_{nx} = ne_x \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}$

Also for any  $x_i \in \mathbf{R}, i = \overline{1, n}$ , we have:

$$e_{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i - \prod_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i = \prod_{i=1}^n (\bar{x}_i + e_{x_i}) - \prod_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i = \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \in J \neq \emptyset \\ I \cup J = \{1, \dots, n\} \\ I \cap J = \emptyset}} \prod_{i \in I} \bar{x}_i \prod_{j \in J} e_{x_j}$$

$$\text{Neglecting the products of errors: } e_{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{x_i} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \bar{x}_j = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{x_i} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \bar{x}_j}{\bar{x}_i}.$$

In particular, for  $x_i = x \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}$  we have:

$$e_{x^n} = \prod_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} + e_{x_i}) - \bar{x}^n = \sum_{k=1}^n C_n^k \bar{x}^{n-k} e_x^k.$$



Neglecting the terms containing powers of the error, we get:

- $e_{x^n} = ne_x \bar{x}^{-n-1}$
- $e_{t_p} = e_{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{x_i^p} = p \sum_{i=1}^n e_{x_i} \bar{x}_i^{-p-1}$

For relative errors:

$$\epsilon_{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e_{x_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e_{x_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\bar{x}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i} \frac{e_{x_i}}{\bar{x}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\bar{x}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i} \epsilon_{x_i}$$

from where:

- $\epsilon_{nx} = \epsilon_x$

$$\epsilon_{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i} = \frac{e_{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e_{x_i} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \bar{x}_j}{\prod_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e_{x_i}}{\bar{x}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_{x_i}$$

therefore:

- $\epsilon_{x^n} = n\epsilon_x$

Considering that the maximum error of the data from measurement or from computer data representation is  $e_{\max}$  and that the sign of the error can not be ascertained, the above formulas become:

- $e_{x+y} \leq 2e_{\max};$
- $e_{x-y} \leq 2e_{\max};$
- $e_{xy} \leq (|\bar{x}| + |\bar{y}|) e_{\max}$
- $e_{\frac{x}{y}} \leq \left( \left| \frac{1}{\bar{y}} \right| + \left| \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{y}^2} \right| \right) e_{\max}$
- $e_{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \leq ne_{\max} \quad \forall x_i \in \mathbf{R}, i = \overline{1, n}$
- $e_{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \bar{x}_j}{\bar{x}_i} \right| e_{\max}$

- $e_{x_n} \leq n \left| \bar{x} \right|^{n-1} e_{\max}$
- $e_{t_p} \leq p \left| \bar{t}_{p-1} \right| e_{\max}$

## 5 The determination of the maximum absolute error of polynomial regression determinants

We saw in section 3 that:

$$\Delta(x_i) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) t_{m-1+\sigma(1)} t_{m-2+\sigma(2)} \cdots t_{-1+\sigma(m+1)}$$

$$\Delta_k(x_i, y_i) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) t_{m-1+\sigma(1)} t_{m-2+\sigma(2)} \cdots s_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)} \cdots t_{-1+\sigma(m+1)}$$

Suppose now that the absolute value of exogenous data belongs in the interval [a,b] and the endogenous data are in the interval [c,d]. We therefore have:

$$(22) \quad \left| \bar{t}_{p-1} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i^{p-1} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \bar{x}_i \right|^{p-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \bar{x}_i \right|^{p-1} \leq nb^{p-1}$$

Therefore:  $e_{t_p} \leq npb^{p-1} e_{\max}$  and as  $\bar{s}_p = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p y_i \leq nb^p d$  follows:

$$e_{s_p} =$$

$$e_{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p y_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{x_i^p y_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \bar{x}_i^p e_{y_i} + \bar{y}_i e_{x_i^p} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \bar{x}_i^p e_y + \bar{y}_i p e_x \bar{x}_i^{p-1} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left( b^p + dpb^{p-1} \right) e_{\max} =$$

$$nb^{p-1} (b + dp) e_{\max}.$$

We now have:

$$e_{\Delta(x_i)} \leq \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} e_{\prod_{q=1}^{m+1} t_{m-q+\sigma(q)}} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} e_{t_{m-q+\sigma(q)}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq q}}^{m+1} t_{m-j+\sigma(j)} \leq$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} n(m-q+\sigma(q)) b^{m-q+\sigma(q)-1} e_{\max} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq q}}^{m+1} nb^{m-j+\sigma(j)} =$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} n(m-q+\sigma(q)) b^{m-q+\sigma(q)-1} e_{\max} n^m b^{m^2 - \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2} + q + \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2} - \sigma(q)} =$$

$$n^{m+1} e_{\max} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} (m-q+\sigma(q)) b^{m-q+\sigma(q)-1+m^2+q-\sigma(q)} =$$

$$n^{m+1} e_{\max} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} (m-q+\sigma(q)) b^{m^2+m-1} =$$

$$n^{m+1} b^{m^2+m-1} m(m+1)(m+1)! e_{\max}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{\Delta_k}(x_i) &\leq \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} e_{S_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)}} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} t_{m-q+\sigma(q)} = \\
&\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \left( e_{S_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)}} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} t_{m-q+\sigma(q)} + \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} t_{m-q+\sigma(q)} e_{S_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)}} \right) = \\
&\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \left( e_{S_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)}} \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} e_{t_{m-q+\sigma(q)}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq q}}^{m+1} t_{m-j+\sigma(j)} + \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} t_{m-q+\sigma(q)} e_{S_{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)}} \right) \leq \\
&\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \left( nb^{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)} d \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} n(m-q+\sigma(q)) b^{m-q+\sigma(q)-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq q}}^{m+1} nb^{m-j+\sigma(j)} e_{\max} + \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} nb^{m-q+\sigma(q)} nb^{\sigma(m-k+1)-2} (b-d+d\sigma(m-k+1)) e_{\max} \right) = \\
&\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \left( n^{m+2} b^{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)} d \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} (m-q+\sigma(q)) b^{m-q+\sigma(q)-1} b^{m^2+q-\sigma(q)} + n^{m+1} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} b^{m-q+\sigma(q)+\sigma(m-k+1)-2} (b-d+d\sigma(m-k+1)) \right) e_{\max} = \\
&n^{m+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \left( nb^{-1+\sigma(m-k+1)} d \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} (m-q+\sigma(q)) b^{m^2+m-1} + \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} b^{m-q+\sigma(q)+\sigma(m-k+1)-2} (b-d+d\sigma(m-k+1)) \right) e_{\max} = \\
&n^{m+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \left( nb^{\sigma(m-k+1)+m^2+m-2} d(m^2+(m-k+1)-\sigma(m-k+1)) + \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} b^{m-q+\sigma(q)+\sigma(m-k+1)-2} (b-d+d\sigma(m-k+1)) \right) e_{\max} \leq \\
&n^{m+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \left( nb^{\sigma(m-k+1)+m^2+m-2} d(m^2+(m-k+1)-\sigma(m-k+1)) + (b+dm)^m \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq m-k+1}}^{m+1} b^{m-q+\sigma(q)+\sigma(m-k+1)-2} \right) e_{\max} = \\
&n^{m+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \left( nb^{\sigma(m-k+1)+m^2+m-2} d(m^2+(m-k+1)-\sigma(m-k+1)) + (b+dm)^m b^{m^2+m-k+1+(m-1)\sigma(m-k+1)-2m} \right) e_{\max} = \\
&n^{m+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \left( nb^{\sigma(m-k+1)+m^2+m-2} d(m^2+(m-k+1)-\sigma(m-k+1)) + (b+dm)^m b^{m^2-m-k+1+(m-1)\sigma(m-k+1)} \right) e_{\max} \leq \\
&n^{m+1} \left( dn(m^2+m-k+1) b^{m^2+m-2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} b^{\sigma(m-k+1)} + (b+dm)^m b^{m^2-m-k+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} b^{(m-1)\sigma(m-k+1)} \right) e_{\max} = \\
&n^{m+1} \left( dn(m^2+m-k+1) b^{m^2+m-2+m+1} (m+1)! + (b+dm)^m b^{m^2-m-k+1} b^{(m-1)(m+1)} (m+1)! \right) e_{\max} = \\
&n^{m+1} (m+1)! \left( dn(m^2+m-k+1) b^{m^2+2m-1} + (b+dm)^m b^{2m^2-m-k} \right) e_{\max}
\end{aligned}$$

It is observed from the above formulas that, with the increase of the maximum absolute values of the variables, the absolute error increases dramatically.

Thus, at a corresponding reduction of both exogenous variables and of the endogenous (decrease of  $b$ , or  $d$ ) will lead to smaller maximum absolute errors. On the other hand, it should be kept in mind that if through a linear transformation of the form:  $x_i \rightarrow \alpha x_i + \beta$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $y_i \rightarrow \mu y_i + \nu$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ , the values becomes in absolute value less than 1, then in determining of the polynomials  $t_p$  and  $s_p$  respectively, the truncation errors of computers will also lead to large errors.

## 6 A numerical example

Let consider the polynomial:

$$P(x) = 0,0000001x^8 - 0,00001x^7 + 0,00001x^6 - 0,00005x^5 + 0,00001x^4 - 0,000012x^3 + 0,00001x^2 - 0,000034x + 0,00015$$

and the data set:  $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1,10}$  where  $x_i = 99 + i$ ,  $y_i = P(x_i)$ . The obtained data are as follows:

x	y
100	9.500.988
101	20.812.078
102	33.684.367
103	48.258.214
104	64.683.291
105	83.119.038
106	103.735.142
107	126.712.027
108	152.241.366
109	180.526.606

Because the law is of polynomial degree 8, the "forecast" for  $x=110$  will be  $y_{11} = P(x_{11}) = P(110) = 211.783.513$ .

We now propose the determination of the 8th-order polynomial regression relative to the above data. Using the Add-Ins Data Analysis from Microsoft Excel, you can easily get:

Intercept	-1819,741535
X Variable 1 (X)	0
X Variable 2 (X <sup>2</sup> )	0
X Variable 3 (X <sup>3</sup> )	0
X Variable 4 (X <sup>4</sup> )	0
X Variable 5 (X <sup>5</sup> )	-4,13418E-05
X Variable 6 (X <sup>6</sup> )	9,79622E-06
X Variable 7 (X <sup>7</sup> )	-9,99834E-06
X Variable 8 (X <sup>8</sup> )	9,99954E-08

The polynomial regression will be:

$$Q(x) = 9,99954 \cdot 10^{-8} x^8 - 9,99834 \cdot 10^{-6} x^7 + 9,79622 \cdot 10^{-6} x^6 - 4,13418 \cdot 10^{-5} x^5 - 1819,741535$$

For  $x=110$ , the expected value is:  $Q(110)=211783512.8$  with an absolute error of 0.2, the relative error being almost zero.

Transforming data by  $x \rightarrow x-99$  we obtain the following set of values:

x	y
1	9.500.988
2	20.812.078
3	33.684.367
4	48.258.214
5	64.683.291
6	83.119.038
7	103.735.142
8	126.712.027
9	152.241.366
10	180.526.606

The regression analysis provides the following coefficients:

Intercept	-380382,6
X Variable 1 (X)	9208199,098
X Variable 2 (X <sup>2</sup> )	653020,942
X Variable 3 (X <sup>3</sup> )	19809,85892
X Variable 4 (X <sup>4</sup> )	337,7886237
X Variable 5 (X <sup>5</sup> )	2,844754844
X Variable 6 (X <sup>6</sup> )	0,068831705
X Variable 7 (X <sup>7</sup> )	-0,002310925
X Variable 8 (X <sup>8</sup> )	4,96032E-05

The polynomial regression will be:

$$P(x)=4,96032 \cdot 10^{-5}x^8 - 0,002310925x^7 + 0,068831705x^6 + 2,844754844x^5 + 337,7886237x^4 + 19809,85892x^3 + 653020,942x^2 + 9208199,098x - 380382,6$$

For  $x=110-99=11$ , the forecast will be:  $P(11)=211783516.6$  with an absolute error of 3.6 and again the relative error is almost zero.

But if the regression coefficients are determined using determinants, because enormous errors caused by large exponents, aberrant predicted values are obtained, in the case of original data the absolute error being greater than  $10^{79}$  and in the case of the modified date than  $10^{108}$ .

The determination of the regression polynomial based on the above formulas (13,14) shows some interesting aspects. Thus, based on the polynomial P, we have:

$$Q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^8 a_j x^j \text{ cu } a_k = \frac{P^{(k)}(-99)}{k!}, k = \overline{0,8} \text{ from where:}$$

$$Q(x) = 0,00005x^8 - 0,041596644x^7 + 15,28280417x^6 - 3208,953433x^5 + 421069,3262x^4 - 35356807,74x^3 + 1855331468x^2 - 55626362954x + 7,2957 \cdot 10^{11}$$

the expected value being  $Q(110)=211783516.6$  with an absolute error of 3.6, again the relative error being almost zero.

Considering now the polynomial  $Q$ , we have:  $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^8 b_j x^j$  cu

$$b_k = \frac{Q^{(k)}(99)}{k!}, k = \overline{0,8} \text{ from where:}$$

$$P(x) = 0,0000001x^8 + 6,9198 \cdot 10^{-5}x^7 + 0,020522478x^6 + 3,381332737x^5 + 334,2576304x^4 + 19823,76145x^3 + 652989,5629x^2 + 9208235,249x + 376758,801$$

the expected value being  $P(11) = 212540669.86$  with an absolute error of 757156.862, but the relative error being now significant: 0.36%.

## 7 References

Dorn W.S., Mc Cracken D.D. (1972), Numerical Methods with FORTRAN IV. Case Studies, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, London, Sydney, Toronto

Ioan C.A. (2011), Elements of Econometrics (2011), Zigotto, Galați