Labor Analysis for Developing Countries and Regions of the World–Part Two

Gina Ioan[1], Cătălin Angelo Ioan[2]

Abstract: The previous article analized the phenomenon of work for developing countries and regions of the World for each of the developing countries or regions of the World. A number of indicators are analyzed, such as: Labor force, Labor force with advanced education, Employment in agriculture, industry and services and also the phenomenon of unemployment.

Keywords: labor; agriculture; industry; services; unemployment

1. Introduction

The previous article analized the phenomenon of work for developing countries and regions of the World for each of the developing countries or regions of the World. A number of indicators are analyzed, such as: Labor force, Labor force with advanced education, Employment in agriculture, industry and services and also the phenomenon of unemployment.

2. The Analysis

2.136. Liechtenstein

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 28079.98. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 381.257*Year-

[1] Senior Lecturer, PhD, Danubius University of Galati, Department of Economics, Romania, Address: 3 Galati Blvd., Galati 800654, Romania, Tel.: +40372361102, E-mail: ginaioan@univ-danubius.ro.
[2] Associate Professor, PhD, Danubius University of Galati, Department of Economics, Romania, Address: 3 Galati Blvd., Galati 800654, Romania, Tel.: +40372361102, Corresponding author: catalin_angelo_ioan@univ-danubius.ro.

729859.449. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 381.257.

2.137. Sri Lanka

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 16115754.39. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 200076.938*Year-381637198.427. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 200076.938.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 7865092.15. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 61898.112*Year-116116825.630. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 61898.112.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 33.51 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2014 reveals an average of 52.80. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2014 highlights an average of 79.13. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.710*Year+1507.998. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.710. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2014 highlights an average of 63.85. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.340*Year+748.102. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.340.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 38.52 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first

42% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 40.67 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.56 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.11 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.61 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.52 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.37 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.71 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.91 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 58.31 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 57.55 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.08 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a

value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.425*Year+859.349. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.425. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.84 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.75 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. Tim

2.138. Lower Middle Income

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1886801772.53. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 37921317.591*Year-73500777598.517. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 37921317.591.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 957524278.67. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 18557448.642*Year-36213045351.753. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 18557448.642. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 32.24 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 49.42 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.718*Year+1488.661. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.718.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.09 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 46.19 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R

Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.702*Year+1452.925. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.702.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.00 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.05 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.90 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.343*Year-666.681. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.343.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.57 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.446*Year-861.452. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.446.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.86 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.625*Year-1221.330. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.625.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.92 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.360*Year-686.813. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.360.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.30 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-

2016 highlights an average of 30.83 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.49 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.55 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 31% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.96 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World.

2.139. Low & Middle Income

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 4159996090.66. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 72900785.148*Year-140766764784.236. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 72900785.148.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2351303141.74. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 37470696.908*Year-72702502765.834. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 37470696.908.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 38.71 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 43.41 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.697*Year+1440.214. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.697.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 46.77 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 31% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93.

The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.667*Year+1382.910. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.667.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.28 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.715*Year+1472.786. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.715.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.58 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.13 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.39 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.00 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.543*Year-1051.571. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.543.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.10 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.726*Year-1415.726. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.726.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.33 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.431*Year-827.321. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.431.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 40.23 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.764*Year-1489.681. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.764.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 42.52 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.584*Year-1128.272. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.584.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.88 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.30 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.60 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

2.140. Lesotho

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1524071.26. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 25385.698*Year-48942697.296. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 25385.698.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 817586.00. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 8486.151*Year-16180174.040. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 8486.151.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 48.18 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 53.51 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.58 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.97 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.52 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.10 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.82 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 66% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.98 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.31 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.21 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.84 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 58.53 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.96 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.55 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.72 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

2.141. Late-Demographic Dividend

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1747816896.49. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 21754675.273*Year-41500477546.897. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 21754675.273.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1126523049.67. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 11243544.599*Year-21394296781.932. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 11243544.599. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 44.09 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 37.79 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.957*Year+1955.302. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.957. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.73 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.865*Year+1773.123. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.865. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016

highlights an average of 36.25 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.028*Year+2096.550. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.028.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.13 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.38 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.88 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 31% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 40.08 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.816*Year-1595.290. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.816.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 42.89 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.985*Year-1930.011. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.985.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.87 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.687*Year-1338.575. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.687.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.41 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. Time regression

analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.085*Year-2121.860. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.085.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.22 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.002*Year-1953.252. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.002.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.62 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.42 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.78 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World.

2.142. Lithuania

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3301705.28. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 71% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1621838.81. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -14816.161*Year+31298608.420. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 14816.161. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 48.85 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1998-2016 reveals an average of 81.84Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1998-2016 highlights an average of 85.12Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. The analysis of

indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1998-2016 highlights an average of 83.15Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 14.58 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.70 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.45 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.80 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.34 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.25 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 66.95 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.098*Year-2133.266. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.098. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 46.30 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.743*Year-1441.363. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.743.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 84.85 bigger than the

World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 80.42 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.43 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.20 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.63 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World.

2.143. Luxembourg

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 403596.09. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 82% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 207677.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 90% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 4720.304*Year-9247091.119. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 4720.304. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 40.63 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.409*Year-779.591. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.409.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1992-2016 reveals an average of 70.36 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1992-2016 highlights an average of 78.74Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education)

during 1992-2016 highlights an average of 75.29 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 2.12 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.50 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.56 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.98 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.787*Year+1595.821. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.787.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.18 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.35 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.032*Year+2094.144. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.032.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 92.33 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.10 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.120*Year-2172.933. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.120.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 92.09 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 90.20 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.90 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.82 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.27 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

2.144. Latvia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 2359409.96. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 74% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1123524.67. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 48.70 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1998-2016 reveals an average of 80.32 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1998-2016 highlights an average of 84.77 Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1998-2016 highlights an average of 82.02Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 13.04 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.07 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.97 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.63 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.59 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.60 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 72.36 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.015*Year-1960.253. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.015.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 48.43 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 86.79 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 83.49 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.12 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.98 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.25 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World.

2.145. Macao SAR, China

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 348181.53. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 7637.957*Year-14836077.557. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 7637.957.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 254871.74. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 9670.805*Year-19115749.953. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 9670.805. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.70 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.379*Year-712.604. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.379.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 reveals an average of 78.26 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of 81.56Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of 79.88 Also for Labor force with advanced

education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 0.20 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.17 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.23 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.37 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.997*Year+2023.979. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.997. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.08 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.684*Year+3399.290. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.684. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.02 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 73.75 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.678*Year-3288.500. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.678. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 72.75 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 93.91 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 87.50 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.59 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.96 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.13 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World.

2.146. St. Martin (French part)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 19020.35. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 99% in the World.

2.147. Morocco

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 23650835.56. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 414396.189*Year-800168788.700. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 414396.189.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 10224086.70. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 186881.921*Year-364100400.328. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 186881.921.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 25.58 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 37.67 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also

for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.17 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.57 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.39 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.38 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.586*Year+1194.740. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.586.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.40 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.94 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.297*Year-553.563. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.297.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.46 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.688*Year-1333.125. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.688. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.03 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.66 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 45.23 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.92 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.55 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.69 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World.

2.148. Monaco

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 29377.72. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 294.589*Year-556264.557. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 294.589.

2.149. Moldova

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3390567.75. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 68% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1348966.30. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 50.47 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2016 reveals an average of 58.43Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2016

highlights an average of 66.51 Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2016 highlights an average of 61.79Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.864*Year+1801.593. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.864.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 31.85 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.97 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.70 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.45 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.41 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.49 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.69 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.722*Year-1413.284. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.722. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.62 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. Time regression

analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.092*Year-2156.381. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.092.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.79 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 68.67 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.24 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.52 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.25 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.77 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World.

2.150. Madagascar

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 12401541.33. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 345101.937*Year-673661110.191. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 345101.937.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 8589201.70. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 279478.175*Year-551205583.249. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 279478.175.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 49.06 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks

on the first 12% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2015 reveals an average of 34.05Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2015 highlights an average of 39.50 Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2015 highlights an average of 37.05 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 78.22 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 79.23 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 77.26 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.92 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.99 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.75 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.85 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.78 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. The analysis of

indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.98 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.75 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.79 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.32 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.17 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.50 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World.

2.151. Maldives

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 222573.51. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 5976.009*Year-11657733.175. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 5976.009.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 126965.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 6831.112*Year-13555752.149. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 6831.112.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 31.01 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 15.87 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.67 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.95 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.38 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.76 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.81 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.58 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.26 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 50.30 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 68.16 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.39 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.48 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also

for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.86 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World.

2.152. Middle East & North Africa

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 247922624.75. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 6049572.945*Year-11778628390.233. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 6049572.945.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 106371273.56. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 3133494.327*Year-6170017862.660. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 3133494.327. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 19.52 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 22.15 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.402*Year+826.644. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.402. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.04 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.07 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.430*Year+882.923. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.430.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.02 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 16%

in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.98 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.218*Year+452.812. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.218. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.05 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.83 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.267*Year-482.487. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.267. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.99 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.530*Year-1003.992. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.530. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.87 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.202*Year-353.344. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.202.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 57.82 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.70 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.02 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.43 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.90 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World.

2.153. Mexico

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 82010863.81. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1613730.791*Year-3126085948.244. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1613730.791.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 43589157.48. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1004101.774*Year-1967626694.848. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1004101.774. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 33.58 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.281*Year-529.939. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.281.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2005-2016 reveals an average of 73.79 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2005-2016 highlights an average of 88.61 Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2005-2016 highlights an average of 81.42 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male

working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.443*Year+972.785. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.443.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 17.52 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.40 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.08 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 53% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.34 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.68 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.78 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 75.91 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.13 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 63.30 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.66 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.00 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.66 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.68 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World.

2.154. Marshall Islands

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 38459.74. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 825.924*Year-1603477.630. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 825.924.

2.155. Middle Income

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3818162988.78. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 64216408.843*Year-123844057791.843. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 64216408.843.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2152773716.04. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 31783911.244*Year-61510400504.873. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 31783911.244.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 37.99 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 41.17 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.799*Year+1642.939. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator

decreases with 0.799.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.03 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.790*Year+1626.968. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.790. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.41 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.803*Year+1648.217. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.803.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.60 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.11 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.35 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.23 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.618*Year-1199.490. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.618. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.86 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.837*Year-1636.418. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.837.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.25 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value:

1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.488*Year-940.298. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.488.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.02 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.876*Year-1712.603. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.876.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.94 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.635*Year-1228.813. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.635.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.91 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.28 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.67 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World.

2.156. Macedonia, FYR

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1906235.56. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 73% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 874630.67. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 7030.675*Year-13207810.563. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 7030.675. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 39.13 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2006-2016

reveals an average of 82.05 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 15% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2006-2016 highlights an average of 79.23 Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2006-2016 highlights an average of 80.63 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 16.83 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.39 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.41 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.85 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.50 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.76 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 50.30 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 54.11 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 47.84 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for

Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 77.23 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 67.67 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.17 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.81 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.76 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

2.157. Mali

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 9376123.18. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 210522.015*Year-409141641.946. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 210522.015.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 3864408.59. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 173905.454*Year-344468216.195. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 173905.454.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 37.77 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 53% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 49.97 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first

15% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.78 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.52 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.13 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.50 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.04 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.89 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 46.73 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.44 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.01 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.90 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.49 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during

1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.88 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.47 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World.

2.158. Malta

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 356221.88. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 83% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 163779.33. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2299.225*Year-4441567.669. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2299.225. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 31.98 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2000-2016 reveals an average of 77.15 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2000-2016 highlights an average of 84.06Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2000-2016 highlights an average of 80.76Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 1.94 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.070*Year+141.944. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.070. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.52 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. Employment in

agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.59 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.07 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.639*Year+1308.569. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.639.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.93 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.915*Year+1851.812. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.915. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.82 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 80.56 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.931*Year-1784.512. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.931. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 63.58 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.518*Year-974.674. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.518.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 93.81 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 82.99 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage

and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.55 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.53 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.12 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World.

2.159. Myanmar

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 38007297.07. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 601692.187*Year-1158156771.325. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 601692.187.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 22395633.63. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 228350.147*Year-434989709.850. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 228350.147. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 42.03 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.106*Year+253.959. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.106.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 44.74 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.348*Year+2745.388. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.348. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.18 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.264*Year+2583.198. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.264.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.30 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.432*Year+2906.764. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.432.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.06 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.276*Year-542.247. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.276.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.72 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.42 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.422*Year-835.499. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.422.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.09 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.28 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.010*Year-1971.950. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.010.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.18 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.58 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.82 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.86 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.78 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World.

2.160. Middle East & North Africa (Excluding High Income)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 219879401.14. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 15% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 5090227.099*Year-9899492071.881. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 5090227.099.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 88483861.41. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2260869.493*Year-4440037733.641. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2260869.493.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 19.44 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 25.76 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.384*Year+795.918. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.384.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.65 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of

female employment) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.24 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.421*Year+868.196. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.421.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.16 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.88 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.79 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.08 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.242*Year-434.875. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.242.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.49 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 48.97 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.75 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.65 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.12 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.97 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.87 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World.

2.161. Montenegro

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 579921.93. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 81% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 246228.11. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 43.40 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.098*Year-152.320. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.098. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2012 reveals an average of 78.25Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.300*Year-525.206. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.300.The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2012 highlights an average of 74.00 Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 3.200*Year-6362.794. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 3.200.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2012 highlights an average of 76.10Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation

coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.400*Year-2740.003. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.400.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 8.42 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.55 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.82 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.94 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.95 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.72 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 53% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 80.52 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 61.47 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 79.67 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 69.05 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.06 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for

Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.48 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.71 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World.

2.162. Mongolia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1978080.70. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 36401.779*Year-70388655.511. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 36401.779.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1017174.00. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 21574.145*Year-42195837.811. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 21574.145. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.67 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2008-2016 reveals an average of 70.73 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2008-2016 highlights an average of 78.09 Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2008-2016 highlights an average of 73.72 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 42.07 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of

female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 40.44 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.47 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.78 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.73 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.38 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.17 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 47.83 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.15 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.77 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.32 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.38 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.19 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also

for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.55 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World.

2.163. Northern Mariana Islands

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 36961.98. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 95% in the World.

2.164. Mozambique

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 15044116.14. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 354169.249*Year-689044350.798. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 354169.249.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 9145899.00. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 240752.155*Year-473080667.600. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 240752.155. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 55.09 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 76.86 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.159*Year+395.705. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.159.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 85.83 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 66.58 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.69 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for

Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.29 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.60 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.45 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.88 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.82 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.56 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.194*Year-385.083. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.194. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.52 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.574*Year-1134.068. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.574.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.86 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.96 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor

force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.30 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World.

2.165. Mauritania

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 2142784.44. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 58876.598*Year-114903892.393. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 58876.598.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 884502.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 26084.553*Year-51362857.035. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 26084.553. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 30.05 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.084*Year-137.281. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.084.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 46.98 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 69.06 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.561*Year+1192.593. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.561. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.03 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.52 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96

and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.100*Year-191.492. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.100. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.28 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.45 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.144*Year-277.122. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.144.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.52 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.67 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.517*Year-1007.017. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.517. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 50.52 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 46.98 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.07 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.14 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.77 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first

73% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.04 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World.

2.166. Mauritius

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1027932.09. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 11011.823*Year-20863572.520. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 11011.823.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 532074.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 5552.053*Year-10588686.312. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 5552.053. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 35.23 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.271*Year-507.132. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.271.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 9.95 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.284*Year+578.187. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.284. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.22 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.28 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.356*Year+723.906. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.356.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.44 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.61 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.186*Year+2404.975. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.186.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.05 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 63.15 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.289*Year-2518.732. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.289.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 54.67 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 82.78 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 75.61 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.45 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.82 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor

force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.04 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

2.167. Malawi

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 8984208.93. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 251931.259*Year-491855134.754. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 251931.259.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 5337973.11. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 146829.888*Year-288762291.888. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 146829.888. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 49.49 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.126*Year+301.621. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.126.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 71.91 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 82.45 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 61.37 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.62 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.15 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of

8.07 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.48 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.40 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.55 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.79 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 40.06 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.88 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.25 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.53 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World.

2.168. Malaysia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 18145298.68. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 421899.943*Year-820591788.180. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 421899.943.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 10556305.26. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 301927.874*Year-594205225.614. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 301927.874. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 35.82 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2016 reveals an average of 63.87 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.931*Year-1811.566. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.931.The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2016 highlights an average of 71.73 Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2016 highlights an average of 67.62 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.809*Year-1560.442. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.809.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 16.17 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.22 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.37 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.14 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of

female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.48 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.543*Year+1113.052. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.543.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.83 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.31 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.066*Year-2073.043. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.066.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 48.79 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.374*Year-700.277. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.374.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 75.43 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 73.29 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.30 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.63 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.12 smaller than the World

average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World.

2.169. North America

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 277077801.93. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2890072.318*Year-5468385966.498. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2890072.318.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 164970135.37. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1555844.433*Year-2951386263.618. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1555844.433.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.60 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 2.16 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.16 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.99 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.04 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.334*Year+689.990. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.334. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.45 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.324*Year+659.700. From this

equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.324.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.95 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.321*Year+673.890. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.321.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 88.40 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.363*Year-638.326. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.363.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 67.04 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.423*Year-781.184. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.423.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 91.39 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 85.58 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.153*Year-221.077. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.153.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.26 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.02 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.48 bigger than the World

average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

2.170. Namibia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1403532.19. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 35030.297*Year-68236697.970. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 35030.297.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 682650.11. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 18402.208*Year-36176971.652. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 18402.208. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 46.84 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2016 reveals an average of 65.82 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2016 highlights an average of 68.10Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2016 highlights an average of 66.96Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 34.66 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.45 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.70 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.90 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.09 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.56 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.43 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 59.46 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.77 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.80 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.96 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.17 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.83 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.70 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World.

2.171. New Caledonia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 171524.05. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 3489.066*Year-6764739.381. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 3489.066.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 106016.11. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2151.554*Year-4203547.221. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2151.554. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.51 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 4.65 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.90 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.73 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.31 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 15% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.54 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.43 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 85.57 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment

in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 58.85 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 78.35 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 65.86 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.56 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.74 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.45 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World.

2.172. Niger

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 9056192.56. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 281789.221*Year-551140777.938. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 281789.221.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 5301183.04. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 183551.099*Year-362351668.062. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 183551.099.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 44.42 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.108*Year+261.576. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.108.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 62.90 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.90 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 65.86 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.47 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.43 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.22 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.65 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.67 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.92 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.55 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.72 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.82 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.76 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.28 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World.

2.173. Nigeria

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 98346715.19. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2445214.696*Year-4762740100.469. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2445214.696.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 41755869.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1047250.966*Year-2055887815.892. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1047250.966.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 43.52 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.136*Year-229.168. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.136.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 43.40 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.48 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 50.03 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.56 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.26 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.13 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.02 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 53.26 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.83 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.70 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 53% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 64.31 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.491*Year-919.767. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.491.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.41 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.69 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 31% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.52 smaller than the World

average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World.

2.174. Nicaragua

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3952296.49. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 82498.920*Year-160055556.113. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 82498.920.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2060756.07. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 60527.609*Year-119176044.090. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 60527.609.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 34.37 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 28.70 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.58 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.37 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.11 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.02 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.30 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 76.38 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 31% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 42.33 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.62 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 53.12 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.33 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.94 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.02 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World.

2.175. Netherlands

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 14683914.67. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 94778.546*Year-173735834.693. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 94778.546.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 8238941.48. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 89169.576*Year-170367719.873. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 89169.576.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 43.51 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks

on the first 32% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.283*Year-523.314. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.283.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1996-2016 reveals an average of 78.81Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1996-2016 highlights an average of 81.51Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1996-2016 highlights an average of 80.31Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 3.14 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.03 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.99 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.37 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.375*Year+771.904. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.375. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.35 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.211*Year+430.425. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.211.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.53 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for

Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.386*Year+801.953. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.386.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 89.61 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.262*Year-435.408. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.262. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 66.46 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.454*Year-843.645. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.454.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 89.30 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 84.82 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.99 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.78 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.41 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World.

2.176. Norway

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 4276479.86. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of

R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 25297.886*Year-46015717.610. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 25297.886.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2441160.59. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 24984.228*Year-47602247.524. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 24984.228.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 46.72 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1996-2016 reveals an average of 84.89 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1996-2016 highlights an average of 87.23Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1996-2016 highlights an average of 86.01 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 3.78 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.169*Year+342.492. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.169. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.96 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.113*Year+227.997. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.113.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.39 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient

value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.211*Year+428.696. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.211.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.58 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.158*Year+337.826. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.158. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.64 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.152*Year+313.791. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.152.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.93 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 89.42 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.264*Year-438.687. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.264.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 61.68 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.340*Year-618.760. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.340.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 94.65 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 88.55 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage

and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.11 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.83 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.37 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World.

2.177. Nepal

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 18716924.04. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 368704.561*Year-714267742.317. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 368704.561.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 12694364.44. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 261720.490*Year-511531776.267. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 261720.490.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 49.16 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 75.21 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 84.01 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 66.58 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.27 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.24 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.22 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.54 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.75 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.17 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.89 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.38 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.97 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.66 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.28 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World.

2.178. Nauru

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 8483.77. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 116.731*Year-223576.958. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 116.731.

2.179. New Zealand

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3454070.18. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 38001.945*Year-72093795.523. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 38001.945.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2085417.70. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 35161.595*Year-68343256.335. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 35161.595.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.58 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.167*Year-288.970. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.167.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 8.10 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.194*Year+397.293. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.194.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.50 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.149*Year+303.867. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.149.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.31 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for

Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.218*Year+446.424. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.218.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.56 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.49 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.93 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 83.01 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.357*Year-632.290. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.357.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 57.75 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 86.52 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 76.93 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.18 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.22 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first

27% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.13 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World.

2.180. OECD Members

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1050842362.12. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 8776546.991*Year-16396933055.193. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 8776546.991.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 566261688.74. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 5101941.958*Year-9652928054.107. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 5101941.958.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 42.46 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.122*Year-201.767. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.122. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2016 reveals an average of 59.55 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2016 highlights an average of 66.02Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2016 highlights an average of 62.92 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 6.54 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -

0.200*Year+407.545. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.200.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.04 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.201*Year+407.196. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.201.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.63 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.193*Year+394.902. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.193.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.63 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.313*Year+653.342. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.313.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.33 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.347*Year+709.846. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.347.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.96 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.242*Year+519.118. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.242.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 80.63 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.548*Year-1017.475. From this equation we can note

that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.548.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 58.40 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.436*Year-814.356. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.436.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 84.28 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.236*Year-388.785. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.236.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 78.32 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.218*Year-359.184. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.218.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.05 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.38 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.81 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World.

2.181. Oman

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1786755.05. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 59209.875*Year-115922477.285. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 59209.875.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1123246.30. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 15.74 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 7.52 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.69 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.22 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.26 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.656*Year-3295.059. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.656. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.87 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.12 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.881*Year-3743.488. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.881.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.22 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.486*Year+3048.280. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.486.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 88.45 bigger than

the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 67.67 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.732*Year+3536.905. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.732.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 89.92 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 87.32 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.28 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.88 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.75 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World.

2.182. Other Small States

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 17406636.88. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 361918.632*Year-702087602.702. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 361918.632.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 9240006.89. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 260016.880*Year-511573804.436. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 260016.880. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total

labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 39.27 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 25.79 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.533*Year+1093.724. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.533. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.14 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.441*Year+913.091. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.441. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.09 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.584*Year+1192.829. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.584.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.25 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.40 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.233*Year+478.147. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.233.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.27 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.96 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in

the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 58.46 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.674*Year-1291.928. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.674.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.64 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.37 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.562*Year-1062.801. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.562.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.44 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.696*Year-1323.273. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.696.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.77 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.48 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.30 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World.

2.183. Pakistan

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 106808164.63. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore:

2724337.078*Year-5309173947.156. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2724337.078.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 47078946.41. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1434693.930*Year-2826612996.190. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1434693.930.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 17.06 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.415*Year-813.571. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.415. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 reveals an average of 25.88 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 highlights an average of 70.20Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 highlights an average of 52.97 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 45.45 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.52 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 40.46 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.38 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of

female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.95 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.92 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.16 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.55 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.63 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.282*Year-527.353. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.282.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.64 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.99 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.370*Year-702.679. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.370.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.02 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.48 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.75 smaller than the World

average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World.

2.184. Panama

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 2442739.68. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 51899.513*Year-100733491.958. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 51899.513.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1422560.67. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 38473.523*Year-75639905.078. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 38473.523.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 36.02 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.237*Year-439.340. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.237.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2012 reveals an average of 70.40Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.800*Year-1538.806. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.800.The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2012 highlights an average of 85.50 Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.600*Year+1292.412. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.600.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2012 highlights an average of 76.60 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00

and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.400*Year-728.003. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.400.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 17.94 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.38 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.86 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.23 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.96 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.70 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 85.67 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.44 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 75.82 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.24 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.05 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for

Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.99 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.790*Year+1596.381. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.790.The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.90 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World.

2.185. Peru

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 20791024.84. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 400914.005*Year-776226016.436. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 400914.005.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 13122211.26. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 403978.760*Year-796047245.167. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 403978.760. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 42.76 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2003-2016 reveals an average of 77.04 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2003-2016 highlights an average of 89.49Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2003-2016 highlights an average of 83.20 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 8.62 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.02 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.87 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.26 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.68 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.78 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 67.11 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 79.30 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 58.34 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.55 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.36 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.80 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.58 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.25 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

2.186. Philippines

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 61111890.60. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1416389.539*Year-2754670513.877. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1416389.539.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 32757114.26. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 818136.610*Year-1605970515.351. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 818136.610. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 38.35 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.092*Year-146.844. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.092. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 reveals an average of 49.82 Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of 63.91Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of

56.11 Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 36.50 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.563*Year+1164.415. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.563.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.07 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.395*Year+815.469. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.395. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.04 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 31% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.619*Year+1284.085. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.619.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.55 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.44 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.08 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 47.96 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.557*Year-1067.495. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.557. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 64.50 bigger than the

World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.521*Year-979.721. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.521.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.88 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.516*Year-995.240. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.516.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.20 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.82 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.42 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.77 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.22 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 40% in the World.

2.187. Palau

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 15369.56. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 230.224*Year-442315.252. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 230.224.

2.188. Papua New Guinea

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 4427134.51. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 109061.526*Year-212387178.647. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 109061.526.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2647335.41. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 70624.479*Year-138813496.516. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 70624.479. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 48.68 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 72.47 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 79.53 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 65.85 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.22 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.87 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.42 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.32 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female

employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.60 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.73 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.98 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.12 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.62 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.01 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.24 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World.

2.189. Poland

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 36012686.82. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 17668197.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.33 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1997-2016 reveals an average of 79.04Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1997-2016

highlights an average of 81.98Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1997-2016 highlights an average of 80.28Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 17.44 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.583*Year+1185.363. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.583. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.95 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.630*Year+1278.645. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.630.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.84 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.548*Year+1116.373. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.548.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.28 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.97 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.28 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.28 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in

the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.762*Year-1476.315. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.762. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 64.07 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.007*Year-1953.743. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.007.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 40.88 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.569*Year-1098.272. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.569.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 76.10 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.607*Year-1140.860. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.607.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 71.30 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.47 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.48 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.63 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

2.190. Pre-Demographic Dividend

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 442071491.56. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 11855492.723*Year-23126648041.061. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 11855492.723.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 223397762.15. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 6393846.614*Year-12583477006.022. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 6393846.614. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 43.91 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 60.00 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 65.33 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.06 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.60 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.46 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.94 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.39 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female

employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.22 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.00 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.01 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.48 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.17 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.10 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 53% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.46 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World.

2.191. Puerto Rico

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3304699.40. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 69% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1304576.22. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 40.09 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 2.28 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.14 smaller than

the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.78 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.70 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.363*Year+748.536. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.363. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.33 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.47 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 91.53 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 66.74 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.424*Year-782.399. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.424.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 85.76 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 65.53 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.26 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during

1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.07 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.72 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World.

2.192. Korea, Dem. People's Rep.

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 19323920.04. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 262338.875*Year-502205764.302. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 262338.875.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 14340241.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 145174.850*Year-276444983.554. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 145174.850. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 48.30 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 59.18 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.93 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 61.31 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.22 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.78 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.85

smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.58 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.30 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.85 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 59.94 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 60.75 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.64 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.75 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.45 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World.

2.193. Portugal

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 9783018.16. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 50% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 5215210.22. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 46.04 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 13% in the

World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.239*Year-432.292. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.239.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1992-2016 reveals an average of 85.00. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1992-2016 highlights an average of 86.28. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1992-2016 highlights an average of 85.53Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 11.52 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.94 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.24 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.23 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.61 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.424*Year+868.616. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.424.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.23 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 68.44 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.53 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 77.13 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 72.89 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.98 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.83 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.26 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World.

2.194. Paraguay

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 4125145.67. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 91775.756*Year-178325057.961. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 91775.756.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2495565.33. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 63581.620*Year-124858420.065. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 63581.620. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 38.68 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks

on the first 71% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 reveals an average of 83.66Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of 90.79Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of 86.77. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 28.16 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.98 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.45 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.67 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.04 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.24 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.97 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.30 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.89 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.63 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.21 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.61 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.36 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

2.195. West Bank and Gaza

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3198660.22. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 94277.981*Year-185640135.870. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 94277.981.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 730412.22. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 31005.648*Year-61373901.426. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 31005.648. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 15.97 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.367*Year-718.974. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.367. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2013 reveals an average of 68.47. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 83%

in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2013 highlights an average of 86.23. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2013 highlights an average of 77.73. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 13.26 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.91 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.57 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.65 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.41 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.74 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 59.70 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 57.68 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 58.91 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. The analysis of

indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.00 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.55 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.35 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.57 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World.

2.196. Pacific Island Small States

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1636224.54. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 72% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 26817.163*Year-51676296.304. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 26817.163.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 666355.93. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 12236.254*Year-23842860.772. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 12236.254. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 37.79 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.098*Year-157.964. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.098.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 36.80 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 31% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.01 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. Employment in

agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.65 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.07 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.55 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.264*Year+537.219. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.264. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.26 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 54.44 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 57.09 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.283*Year-509.584. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.283.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.51 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 47.12 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.75 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.70 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also

for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.96 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World.

2.197. Post-Demographic Dividend

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 947789310.74. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 5974919.259*Year-10930350176.174. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 5974919.259.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 512981773.07. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 3406210.350*Year-6309657557.609. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 3406210.350.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 44.07 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.120*Year-196.548. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.120.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 5.07 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.152*Year+310.127. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.152. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.30 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.156*Year+316.424. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.156.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.67 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the

first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.146*Year+297.385. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.146.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.71 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.398*Year+822.816. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.398. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.94 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.433*Year+882.234. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.433. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.21 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.324*Year+682.816. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.324.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 80.76 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.589*Year-1099.306. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.589. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 60.12 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.470*Year-881.635. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.470.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 86.86 bigger than the

World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.232*Year-378.373. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.232. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 81.61 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.118*Year-153.977. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.118.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.02 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.19 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.89 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

2.198. French Polynesia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 184608.32. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 3896.597*Year-7561826.196. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 3896.597.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 99400.07. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1718.901*Year-3343558.827. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1718.901.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 40.32 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore:

0.201*Year-362.168. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.201.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 10.41 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.76 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.38 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.82 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.87 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.125*Year+258.910. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.125.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.71 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 86.36 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 63.89 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 75.45 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 66.70 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for

Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.23 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.79 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.19 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World.

2.199. Qatar

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 628282.89. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 71% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 767659.48. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 14.53 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 2.10 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.23 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.42 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 45.18 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.83 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.97 bigger than the

World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.71 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 95.94 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 45.62 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 99.71 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 98.97 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.10 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.84 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.42 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World.

2.200. Romania

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 21243017.44. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 39% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 10573915.63. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 44% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.28 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for

Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1997-2016 reveals an average of 79.86Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1997-2016 highlights an average of 80.41. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1997-2016 highlights an average of 80.10Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 34.81 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.10 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.88 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.85 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.19 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.41 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.35 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.792*Year-1551.563. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with

0.792. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.73 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.096*Year-2155.283. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.096.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.71 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 61.92 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 65.58 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.82 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.21 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.31 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World.

2.201. Russian Federation

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 139572417.95. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 19% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 74832768.67. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 48.54 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 reveals an

average of 64.77. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 highlights an average of 75.50. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 highlights an average of 69.73. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 10.07 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.27 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.69 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.30 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.00 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.395*Year+813.359. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.395. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.15 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 71.72 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average

of 50.15 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.320*Year-591.651. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.320.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 94.71 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 93.55 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.99 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.68 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.27 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World.

2.202. Rwanda

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 6497320.84. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 152647.072*Year-296965059.220. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 152647.072.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 4300547.48. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 126613.833*Year-249306960.685. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 126613.833. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 50.96 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 82.83 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 90.34 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 74.08 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.22 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.10 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.86 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.93 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.55 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.08 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.37 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.503*Year-999.590. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.503.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.54 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for

Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.917*Year-1819.034. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.917.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.18 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.39 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.97 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World.

2.203. South Asia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1115900855.42. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 22331767.976*Year-43279653881.289. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 22331767.976.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 552395128.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 10257230.654*Year-19992837870.802. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 10257230.654. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 26.54 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1994-2012 reveals an average of 8.92. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1994-2012 highlights an average of 22.46. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male

working-age population with advanced education) during 1994-2012 highlights an average of 17.82. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 54.91 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.723*Year+1503.463. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.723. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.20 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.585*Year+1242.395. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.585. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.12 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.735*Year+1520.887. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.735.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.27 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.78 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.96 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.418*Year-817.911. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.418.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.81 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in

the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.335*Year-645.017. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.335.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.03 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.313*Year-610.821. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.313.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.93 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.316*Year-602.813. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.316.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.36 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.72 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.17 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 15% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.82 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.92 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World.

2.204. Saudi Arabia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 15420033.33. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore:

516908.101*Year-1012193271.296. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 516908.101.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 7995594.41. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 316216.479*Year-625386012.293. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 316216.479. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 13.81 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2014-2016 reveals an average of 38.37. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2014-2016 highlights an average of 62.93Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2014-2016 highlights an average of 53.23Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 5.43 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.59 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.09 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.95 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.36 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. Employment in

industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.59 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 98.06 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.32 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 97.74 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 92.47 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.158*Year-224.940. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.158.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.71 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.75 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.92 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World.

2.205. Sudan

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 20619640.74. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 583946.810*Year-1140266618.486. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 583946.810.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 8199536.37. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 185526.626*Year-363410296.256. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 185526.626.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 25.56 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 38.58 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.483*Year+1006.566. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.483.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.06 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.97 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.392*Year+825.269. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.392.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.44 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.17 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.09 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.97 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.389*Year-

737.036. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.389.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.78 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.93 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.255*Year-466.975. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.255.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 42.75 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.962*Year-1885.135. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.962.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 54.29 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.03 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.34 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.83 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World.

2.206. Senegal

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 7821227.42. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 44% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 209154.359*Year-407977638.316. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 209154.359.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 3297611.81. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 108365.858*Year-213759201.265. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 108365.858. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 35.63 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.438*Year-842.277. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.438. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2015 reveals an average of 20.74. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2015 highlights an average of 25.52Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2015 highlights an average of 23.90Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 49.57 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.41 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.54 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.66 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.38 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Employment in

industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.54 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.632*Year-1243.715. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.632.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.76 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 42.21 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.91 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.25 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.33 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.782*Year-1535.546. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.782.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.23 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.44 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.25 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World.

2.207. Singapore

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3233512.88. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 70310.578*Year-136543915.493. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 70310.578.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2290406.74. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 67871.971*Year-133657150.563. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 67871.971.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 41.24 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.287*Year-534.227. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.287.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 0.32 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.16 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.43 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.97 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.755*Year+1538.492. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.755.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.16 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96.

The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.826*Year+1676.840. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.826. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.38 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 78.67 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.820*Year-1565.019. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.820.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.19 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 90.97 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 81.76 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.60 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.86 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.42 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World.

2.208. Solomon Islands

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 316932.74. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 8757.534*Year-

17093044.397. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 8757.534.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 188728.74. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 5412.623*Year-10652755.771. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 5412.623.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 43.14 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 50.50 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 53.37 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 48.26 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.28 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.83 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.62 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 47.23 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.81 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.12 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for

Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.61 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.63 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.55 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.06 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.35 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

2.209. Sierra Leone

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 4187880.60. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 85899.029*Year-166579388.745. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 85899.029.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1895786.15. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 41260.469*Year-80748934.210. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 41260.469.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 50.20 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 67.98 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first

7% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.97 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 64.98 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.53 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.43 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.70 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.47 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.60 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.32 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.04 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.20 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.00 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during

1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.02 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.00 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World.

2.210. El Salvador

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 4927944.39. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 65507.124*Year-125300217.988. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 65507.124.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2377938.48. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 32259.258*Year-62237354.554. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 32259.258.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 39.26 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2016 reveals an average of 83.30. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2016 highlights an average of 90.00. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 15% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2016 highlights an average of 86.56Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 20.35 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 53% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.85 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.97 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.24 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.73 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.92 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 74.43 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.10 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 47.67 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.40 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.06 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.74 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.58 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World.

2.211. San Marino

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 24015.02. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 306.428*Year-585163.694. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 306.428.

2.212. Somalia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 7267103.26. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 199148.026*Year-388639172.666. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 199148.026.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2526967.22. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 58411.548*Year-114471363.882. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 58411.548.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 19.65 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 72.74 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 84.95 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 67.32 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.63 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.014*Year-23.251. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator

grow with 0.014.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.88 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.29 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.63 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.17 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.38 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.37 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 66.52 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.05 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.84 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.71 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World.

2.213. Serbia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 7435070.89. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of

R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -23190.157*Year+53884955.157. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 23190.157.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 3276773.30. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 43.17 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 reveals an average of 68.74. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of 63.07. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of 65.95. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 23.74 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.51 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.621*Year+1268.599. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.621. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.27 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.79 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.05 bigger than

the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.95 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 48.48 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.44 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 42.79 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 71.77 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 67.52 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.92 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.19 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.25 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World.

2.214. Sub-Saharan Africa (Excluding High Income)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 531729854.00. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore:

13960082.613*Year-27220914381.062. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 13960082.613.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 283150973.96. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 8015398.734*Year-15771692689.882. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 8015398.734.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.73 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.074*Year-103.337. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.074.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 57.63 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 60.63 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.23 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.58 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.40 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.15 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.79 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.98 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.252*Year-472.788. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.252.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.62 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.43 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.30 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.85 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.06 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.83 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

2.215. South Sudan

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 6010638.21. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 46% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 3313314.37. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 117652.892*Year-232345428.188. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 117652.892. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 48.49 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World.

2.216. Sub-Saharan Africa

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 531798833.38. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 13960972.228*Year-27222613956.526. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 13960972.228.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 283150973.96. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 8015398.734*Year-15771692689.882. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 8015398.734.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.73 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.074*Year-103.337. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.074.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 57.63 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 60.63 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.23 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 15% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.58 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.40 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.15 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.79 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.98 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.252*Year-472.788. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.252. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.62 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.43 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.30 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.85 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.06 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.83 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

2.217. Small States

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 24911787.58. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 442061.317*Year-853906110.917. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 442061.317.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 12787758.15. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 311852.209*Year-611852216.061. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 311852.209. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 39.68 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 23.98 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.462*Year+950.480. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.462.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.04 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.344*Year+713.419. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.344.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.29 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.541*Year+1106.321. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.541.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.60 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.69 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.226*Year+462.675. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.226.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.78 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for

Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.42 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 64.27 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.570*Year-1076.810. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.570.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.94 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 63.60 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.379*Year-696.339. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.379. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 67.63 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.500*Year-934.033. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.500.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.76 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.56 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.19 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World.

2.218. Sao Tome and Principe

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 116136.61. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2397.795*Year-4650680.714. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2397.795.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 47298.70. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1282.802*Year-2522152.876. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1282.802. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 34.81 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.097*Year-159.855. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.097.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 29.49 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.639*Year+1310.290. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.639.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.23 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 53% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.44 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.655*Year+1346.341. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.655.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.38 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.23 smaller than

the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.32 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 75.55 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.24 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 53.22 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 57.64 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.27 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.10 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.03 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World.

2.219. Suriname

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 418193.28. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 4395.637*Year-8320333.766. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 4395.637.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 174606.56. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 93% in the World.

Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 3414.897*Year-6665431.786. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 3414.897.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 36.46 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.297*Year-558.899. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.297.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 5.57 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.63 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.05 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.36 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.62 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.89 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 91.76 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.06 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.37 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.93 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.78 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.84 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.99 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World.

2.220. Slovak Republic

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 5036509.18. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 62% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2626140.81. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.25 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1998-2016 reveals an average of 77.28. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.888*Year+1858.937. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.888. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1998-2016 highlights an average of 81.64. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1998-2016 highlights an average of 79.36Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The

equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.704*Year+1491.657. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.704.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 5.71 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.269*Year+544.632. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.269. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.52 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.210*Year+423.741. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.210.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.50 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.324*Year+656.155. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.324.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.74 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.53 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.44 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.94 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.05 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 93.31 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.324*Year+742.099. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.324. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 85.00 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.87 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 14.44 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.40 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World.

2.221. Slovenia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1899084.79. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 73% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 986769.26. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 46.15 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1996-2016 reveals an average of 80.91. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1996-2016 highlights an average of 77.09. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1996-2016 highlights an average of 79.17. Also for Labor force with

advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 9.73 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.58 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.88 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.18 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.646*Year+1331.472. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.646.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.32 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.856*Year+1740.626. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.856. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 46.42 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.491*Year+1029.466. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.491.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 64.09 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.951*Year-1841.048. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.951.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights

an average of 43.73 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.582*Year-1121.728. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.582.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 86.45 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 81.12 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.17 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.27 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.08 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World.

2.222. Sweden

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 8559992.05. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 35827.908*Year-62665889.229. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 35827.908.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 4758899.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 47.51 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1995-2016 reveals an average of 82.53. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 8% in the

World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1995-2016 highlights an average of 84.42. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1995-2016 highlights an average of 83.35Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 2.58 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.070*Year+142.316. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.070.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.27 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.77 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.092*Year+188.643. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.092.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.75 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.371*Year+766.891. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.371. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.88 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.250*Year+511.547. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.250.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.47 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first

34% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.494*Year+1025.191. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.494.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 88.84 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.297*Year-505.221. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.297. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 61.77 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.587*Year-1114.786. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.587.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 93.72 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 84.17 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.34 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.88 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.75 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World.

2.223. Swaziland

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 799855.96. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 18809.298*Year-

36593027.487. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 18809.298.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 334471.41. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 7687.888*Year-15064368.814. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 7687.888. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 39.17 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 23.52 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 46.67 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.296*Year+638.746. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.296. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.75 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.243*Year+498.580. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.243.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.83 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.75 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.66 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 50.58 bigger than the World average:

46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 64.60 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 15% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 69.75 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.233*Year-397.395. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.233.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 83.53 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.65 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.49 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.96 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World.

2.224. Sint Maarten (Dutch part)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 35612.16. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

2.225. Seychelles

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 68979.39. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 889.615*Year-1699575.463. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 889.615.

2.226. Syrian Arab Republic

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 12213734.88. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 40% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 318656.173*Year-621274737.170. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 318656.173.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 4833454.52. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 17.89 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 23.23 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 42.49 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.50 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.09 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.53 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.80 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 45.68 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 47.98 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. The analysis of

indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 45.70 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 64.95 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 54.10 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.57 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.32 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.43 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World.

2.227. Turks and Caicos Islands

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 14814.42. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 98% in the World.

2.228. Chad

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 6740577.68. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 192867.672*Year-376680353.915. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 192867.672.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 3581380.70. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 121108.237*Year-238998417.755. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 121108.237. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.38 bigger than the World

average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 77.27 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 82.07 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 73.40 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.95 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 0.74 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.93 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.78 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.20 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.67 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.08 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.42 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for

Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.74 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.96 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.74 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World.

2.229. East Asia & Pacific (IDA & IBRD Countries)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1500616046.07. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 21486501.945*Year-41214549820.015. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 21486501.945.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1000085743.22. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 10898979.960*Year-20830571117.294. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 10898979.960.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 43.81 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.049*Year+141.422. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.049.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 43.44 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.061*Year+2168.569. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.061.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 46.14 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.952*Year+1954.289. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.952.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.32 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.143*Year+2331.272. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.143.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.54 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 31% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.89 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.39 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.02 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.863*Year-1693.285. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.863.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.97 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.027*Year-2019.877. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.027.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.28 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.738*Year-1443.306. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.738.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.37 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.235*Year-2431.243. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.235.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 47.58 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.217*Year-2390.809. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.217.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.48 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.08 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 15% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.78 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World.

2.230. Europe & Central Asia (IDA & IBRD countries)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 401579239.35. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2488546.279*Year-4545650762.604. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2488546.279.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 201084757.67. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 15% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 44.95 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 reveals an average of 68.98. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 80% in the

World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 highlights an average of 77.89. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.475*Year-877.219. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.475. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 highlights an average of 73.94Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.282*Year-492.786. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.282.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 21.55 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.38 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.68 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.27 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.70 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.340*Year+702.298. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.340.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.62 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 51.19 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.559*Year-1068.313. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.559.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 57.91 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.759*Year-1461.835. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.759.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 45.70 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.396*Year-747.129. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.396.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 77.24 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 75.18 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.08 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.93 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.20 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World.

2.231. Togo

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3884008.25. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 106362.555*Year-207564750.509. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 106362.555.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2485998.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 68206.281*Year-134131182.874. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 68206.281.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 50.00 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 64.28 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 71.69 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.92 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.27 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.85 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.69 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.45 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female

employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.45 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.41 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.45 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.76 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.34 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.85 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 44% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.84 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World.

2.232. Thailand

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 52085755.35. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 779130.754*Year-1496826184.025. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 779130.754.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 36018303.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 403613.930*Year-772420398.745. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 403613.930. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.66 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks

on the first 36% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 reveals an average of 85.30. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.640*Year+1373.301. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.640. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 highlights an average of 88.87Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2015 highlights an average of 86.82. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 45.47 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.919*Year+1885.885. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.919. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.32 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.085*Year+2218.925. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.085. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 46.45 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.781*Year+1611.235. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.781.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.42 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.60 bigger than

the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.95 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 35.10 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.678*Year-1322.348. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.678.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.08 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.906*Year-1777.535. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.906.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.60 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.86 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.36 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.48 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.45 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.50 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World.

2.233. Tajikistan

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 5008258.82. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 116092.181*Year-225782996.814. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 116092.181.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2399712.67. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 63% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 67329.399*Year-132461072.843. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 67329.399.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 40.21 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 56.61 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 73.76 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 42.88 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.47 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.31 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.40 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.93 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female

employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.93 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.72 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.78 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.00 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.30 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.18 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.18 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World.

2.234. Turkmenistan

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3543834.28. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 73510.042*Year-142594129.218. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 73510.042.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1963463.48. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 47005.154*Year-92187859.672. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 47005.154. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 42.25 bigger than the World

average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 22.31 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 20.44 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.55 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.39 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 40.99 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.99 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 40.29 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.56 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.46 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 68.71 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 53% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 71.53 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for

Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.04 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.90 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.13 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World.

2.235. Latin America & the Caribbean (IDA & IBRD countries)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 414100527.54. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 7571745.707*Year-14638529937.122. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 7571745.707.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 233664579.56. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 5334720.900*Year-10451781384.123. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 5334720.900. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 38.44 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.291*Year-543.459. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.291. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 reveals an average of 67.62. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of 76.77Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age

population with advanced education) during 2009-2016 highlights an average of 71.79. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 17.88 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.73 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.23 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.00 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.93 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.56 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 76.35 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 50.21 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 62.03 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 60.09 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.85 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for

Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 11.03 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.46 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World.

2.236. Timor-Leste

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 788994.98. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 12701.359*Year-24461307.012. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 12701.359.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 273324.67. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 32.54 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2013 reveals an average of 24.15. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2013 highlights an average of 34.08. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2013 highlights an average of 30.32. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 52.63 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 61.53 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. Employment in

agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 48.50 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.96 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 1.33 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.27 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 45.40 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 37.14 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.23 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.95 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.98 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.44 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.57 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.42 smaller than the World

average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World.

2.237. Middle East & North Africa (IDA & IBRD countries)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 218364246.30. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 4996249.609*Year-9714179975.665. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 4996249.609.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 87753449.15. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2229863.852*Year-4378663845.704. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2229863.852.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 19.46 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 25.85 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.384*Year+796.074. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.384.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 32.68 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.33 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.422*Year+869.639. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.422.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 25.14 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 17.91 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.76 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.02 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.239*Year-430.619. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.239.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.42 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 48.90 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.70 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 56.61 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.03 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.95 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.77 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World.

2.238. Tonga

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 92213.07. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 90% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 36804.15. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 299.317*Year-562727.502. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 299.317. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 37.68 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 33.88 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.88 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.80 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.43 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.18 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.68 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.69 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 39.94 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 34.53 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.66 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.74 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 5.14 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.41 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.60 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World.

2.239. South Asia (IDA & IBRD)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1115900855.42. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 22331767.976*Year-43279653881.289. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 22331767.976.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 552395128.85. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 10257230.654*Year-19992837870.802. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 10257230.654. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 26.54 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 1994-2012 reveals an average of 8.92. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 1994-2012 highlights an average of 22.46Also for Labor

force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 1994-2012 highlights an average of 17.82. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 54.91 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.723*Year+1503.463. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.723. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 70.20 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.585*Year+1242.395. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.585. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 49.12 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.735*Year+1520.887. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.735.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 18.27 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.78 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.96 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.90. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.418*Year-817.911. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.418.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 26.81 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.335*Year-645.017. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.335. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 16.03 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.313*Year-610.821. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.313.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.93 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.98. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.316*Year-602.813. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.316.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.36 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.72 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.17 smaller than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 15% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.82 smaller than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 3.92 smaller than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World.

2.240. Sub-Saharan Africa (IDA & IBRD countries)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 531798833.38. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 9% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 13960972.228*Year-27222613956.526. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 13960972.228.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 283150973.96. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.99 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 8015398.734*Year-15771692689.882. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 8015398.734. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 45.73 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.074*Year-103.337. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.074.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 57.63 bigger than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 60.63 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.23 bigger than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.58 smaller than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.40 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.15 smaller than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.79 smaller than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.98 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.252*Year-472.788. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.252.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 31.62 smaller than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 22.43 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 36.30 smaller than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 7.85 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.06 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 6.83 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World.

2.241. Trinidad and Tobago

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1149062.77. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 9499.963*Year-17736864.166. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 9499.963.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 599654.78. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 85% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 9247.279*Year-17922645.057. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 9247.279.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 40.11 bigger than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 7.00 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 2.07 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.03 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 30.53 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.76 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 44.05 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 88.18 bigger than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 45.93 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 82.03 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. The analysis of

indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 74.51 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 10.18 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.720*Year+1452.157. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.720. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.90 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.97. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.891*Year+1798.930. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.891.The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.40 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. Time

2.242. Tunisia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 7741883.53. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 139976.099*Year-270530602.269. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 139976.099.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 3383103.81. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 0.99. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 59775.404*Year-116347029.478. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 59775.404. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 25.36 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2007-2011 reveals an average of 59.98Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced

education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2007-2011 highlights an average of 73.86. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2007-2011 highlights an average of 67.34Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 16.19 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 4.70 smaller than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 19.65 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 29.67 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 38.02 bigger than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.608*Year+1255.459. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.608. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 27.22 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 54.17 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.356*Year-658.122. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.356.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 57.29 bigger than the

World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.728*Year-1401.256. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.728.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 53.13 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.95 and a value of R Square: 0.91. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.236*Year-419.828. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.236.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 73.17 bigger than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 66.41 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 15.03 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 21.58 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 12.83 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World.

2.243. Turkey

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 52038202.09. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 1.00 and a value of R Square: 1.00. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 934098.776*Year-1804950163.940. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 934098.776.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 23189252.74. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-

2016 highlights an average of 29.02 smaller than the World average: 39.49. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2006-2016 reveals an average of 69.59. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.405*Year-745.778. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.405. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2006-2016 highlights an average of 83.93. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.522*Year-965.449. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.522. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2006-2016 highlights an average of 77.90. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.95. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.403*Year-731.984. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.403.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 32.08 smaller than the World average: 36.26. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.132*Year+2300.591. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.132. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 52.63 bigger than the World average: 38.19. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.94. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -1.831*Year+3721.327. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 1.831. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 23.72 smaller than the World average: 34.99. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient

value: -0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.92. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.838*Year+1702.070. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.838.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 24.32 bigger than the World average: 20.78. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 13.63 smaller than the World average: 14.98. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 28.70 bigger than the World average: 24.57. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 43.61 bigger than the World average: 42.96. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.96 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.850*Year-1658.850. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.850.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 33.74 smaller than the World average: 46.83. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.97 and a value of R Square: 0.93. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.505*Year-2981.032. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.505.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 47.58 bigger than the World average: 40.44. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 41.40 smaller than the World average: 49.50. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.744*Year-3452.639. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.744. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 55.55 bigger than the World average: 49.30. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the

first 40% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 0.98 and a value of R Square: 0.96. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.222*Year-2392.693. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.222.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.01 bigger than the World average: 6.11. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 9.21 bigger than the World average: 6.54. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 8.92 bigger than the World average: 5.82. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World.

2.244. Tuvalu

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 8.702. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.082*Year-154.102. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.082.

2.245. Tanzania

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 26.827.521. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 776.052*Year-1.515.963.992. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 776.052.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 17.518.690. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 520.171*Year-1.024.383.620. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 520.171. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 050 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2014 reveals an average of 056. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 3% in the

World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2014 highlights an average of 062. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2011-2014 highlights an average of 060Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 075 bigger than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 078 bigger than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 073 bigger than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 004 smaller than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 002 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 smaller than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 020 smaller than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 11% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 020 smaller than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 021 smaller than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a

value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.652. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 smaller than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 015 smaller than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 003 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 004 smaller than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 002 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World.

2.246. Uganda

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 18.945.793. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 582.622*Year-1.139.305.935. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 582.622.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 9.921.585. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 338.365*Year-667.824.123. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 338.365.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 047 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.117. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total

working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2013 reveals an average of 089Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+2.303. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2013 highlights an average of 094Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.007*Year-13.792. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.007. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2012-2013 highlights an average of 092Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.004*Year-7.153. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.004.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 070 bigger than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 076 bigger than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 064 bigger than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 3% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 007 smaller than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 005 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 010 smaller than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 022 smaller than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 019 smaller than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 026 smaller than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 011 smaller than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 024 smaller than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 002 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 002 smaller than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 003 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World.

2.247. Ukraine

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 48.207.960. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 28% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 22.758.436. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 31% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -165.395*Year+354.043.640. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 165.395.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 048 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks

on the first 20% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year+0.219. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.000. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2014-2015 reveals an average of 069. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.737. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2014-2015 highlights an average of 080Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.726. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2014-2015 highlights an average of 073. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.733. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 023 smaller than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 023 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 023 smaller than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 027 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 24% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 026 bigger than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 028 bigger than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 051 bigger than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 048 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 075 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 079 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 008 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 007 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 009 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 80% in the World.

2.248. Upper Middle Income

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1.931.361.216. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 26.295.091*Year-50.343.280.193. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 26.295.091.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1.195.249.437. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 3% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a

value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 13.226.463*Year-25.297.355.108. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 13.226.463. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 043 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 034 smaller than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+1.871. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 036 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+1.772. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 033 smaller than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+1.943. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 023 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 017 bigger than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 027 bigger than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 043 smaller than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and

a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.546. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 046 smaller than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.967. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 041 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 53% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.237. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 054 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-2.137. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 057 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.817. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 smaller than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World.

2.249. Uruguay

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 3.061.199. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 15.906*Year-28.559.855. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 15.906.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 1.599.640. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 13.928*Year-26.297.238. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 13.928. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 043 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.361. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2016 reveals an average of 081Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2016 highlights an average of 087. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2016 highlights an average of 083Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 20% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 011 smaller than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 005 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 74% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average

of 015 smaller than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 023 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 013 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 031 bigger than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 082 bigger than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 054 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 075 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 069 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 010 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 013 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 008 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World.

2.250. United States

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 249.960.271. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2.571.099*Year-4.861.384.359. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2.571.099.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 147.806.858. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.327.394*Year-2.510.963.291. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.327.394.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 046 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 33% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 002 smaller than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 001 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 003 smaller than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 021 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year+0.742. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.000.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 010 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year+0.707. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.000.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of

030 bigger than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year+0.735. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.000.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 088 bigger than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.681. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 067 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.838. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 092 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 086 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.256. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 smaller than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World.

2.251. Uzbekistan

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 19.563.901. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 414.602*Year-804.665.758. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 414.602.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 10.869.558. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 294.350*Year-578.714.190. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 294.350.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 042 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 038 bigger than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 038 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 038 bigger than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 022 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 28% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 022 bigger than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 022 smaller than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 51% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 040 smaller than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female

employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 041 smaller than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 040 smaller than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.546. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 068 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 061 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 009 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 67% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 009 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 009 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World.

2.252. St. Vincent and the Grenadines

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 101.336. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 89% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 50.704. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.649*Year-1.249.120. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.649. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 039 smaller than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R

Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.434. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 021 smaller than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 012 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 027 smaller than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 019 smaller than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year+0.553. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.000. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 007 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year+0.695. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.000.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 027 bigger than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 081 bigger than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 046 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 087 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-

2016 highlights an average of 083 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 019 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 020 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 85% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 019 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 95% in the World.

2.253. Venezuela, RB

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 19.283.179. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 33% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 430.006*Year-835.567.954. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 430.006.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 11.167.880. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 278.454*Year-546.575.974. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 278.454.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 038 smaller than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 69% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.463. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2012 reveals an average of 084Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2012 highlights an average of 088. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced

education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2009-2012 highlights an average of 085Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 011 smaller than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 004 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 015 smaller than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 026 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 008 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 037 bigger than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 088 bigger than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 049 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 062 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 059 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 010 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 012 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 55% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 009 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 56% in the World.

2.254. British Virgin Islands

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 16.506. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 99% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.411*Year-800.301. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.411.

2.255. Virgin Islands (U.S.)

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 91.461. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 91% in the World.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 52.135. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 048 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.208. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 012 smaller than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 005 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 018 smaller than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 024 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for

Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 007 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 68% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 038 bigger than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 088 bigger than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 044 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 64% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 072 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 065 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 008 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 010 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 007 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 57% in the World.

2.256. Vietnam

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 63.237.851. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 22% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.095.056*Year-2.113.733.636. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.095.056.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 44.008.467. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 965.899*Year-1.890.687.796. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 965.899.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 049 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 16% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2013-2015 reveals an average of 085Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 4% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2013-2015 highlights an average of 086Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2013-2015 highlights an average of 086Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 058 bigger than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+2.605. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 060 bigger than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 26% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+2.433. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001.Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 057 bigger than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+2.759. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 016 smaller than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.206. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 013 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.943. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 019 smaller than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.453. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 025 smaller than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.299. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 027 smaller than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.393. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 023 smaller than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.213. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 020 smaller than the World

average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.929. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 029 smaller than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-2.456. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 002 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 002 smaller than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 002 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 5% in the World.

2.257. Vanuatu

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 149.154. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 3.616*Year-7.038.593. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 3.616.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 86.060. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 2.428*Year-4.777.413. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 2.428. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 043 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 48% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.045. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 063 bigger than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 12% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 065 bigger than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 060 bigger than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 smaller than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 003 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 009 smaller than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 93% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 031 smaller than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 7% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 032 smaller than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 81% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 030 smaller than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 020 smaller than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 027 smaller than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 smaller than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 005 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World.

2.258. World

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 5.141.842.646. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 80.464.070*Year-154.820.729.456. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 80.464.070.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 2.888.641.958. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 0% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 42.279.321*Year-81.796.838.124. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 42.279.321.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 039 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 72% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 036 bigger than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+1.148. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001.The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 038 bigger than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+1.116. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016

highlights an average of 035 bigger than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.001*Year+1.169. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.001.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 021 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 015 bigger than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 32% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 025 bigger than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 46% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 043 bigger than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-0.965. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 047 bigger than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 60% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.283. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001.The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 040 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 63% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.762. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 050 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World. Time regression

analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.001*Year-1.206. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.001. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 049 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.869. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 38% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 007 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World.

2.259. Samoa

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 160.722. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 87% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 1.262*Year-2.348.174. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 1.262.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 36.067. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.186*Year-337.030. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.186. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 036 smaller than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 82% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 030 smaller than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 77% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 010 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 78% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 038 bigger than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 025 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 75% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 039 bigger than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 019 smaller than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 051 bigger than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 042 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 2% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 058 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 52% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 045 smaller than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 49% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 007 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 005 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 59% in the World.

2.260. Kosovo

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 1.583.332. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 75% in the World.

The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2014-2016 reveals an average of 059. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: -0.010*Year+19.403. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.010. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2014-2016 highlights an average of 069Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2014-2016 highlights an average of 065. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World.

2.261. Yemen, Rep.

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 13.211.889. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 36% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 413.933*Year-809.687.897. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 413.933.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 4.462.320. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 49% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 129.644*Year-255.215.221. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 129.644.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 016 smaller than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 100% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2014 reveals an average of 027. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2014

highlights an average of 037Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2010-2014 highlights an average of 035Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 14% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 039 bigger than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 39% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 063 bigger than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 033 smaller than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 45% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 015 smaller than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 65% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 007 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 62% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 017 smaller than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 71% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year-0.738. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.000.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 046 bigger than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 1% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 030 smaller than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 70% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.002*Year-4.604. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 0.002.The analysis of indicator:

Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 051 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 34% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 044 smaller than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 66% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 059 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 50% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 015 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 90% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 024 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 012 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 84% in the World.

2.262. South Africa

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 35.624.865. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 25% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 709.089*Year-1.374.044.564. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 709.089.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 16.711.827. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 31% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 345.246*Year-674.815.912. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 345.246. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 043 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-age population with advanced education) during 2008-2016 reveals an average of 081. Also for Labor force with advanced education (% of total working-

age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World. The study of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) during 2008-2016 highlights an average of 088. Also for Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 30% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) during 2008-2016 highlights an average of 084. Also for Labor force with advanced education, male (% of male working-age population with advanced education) the region ranks on the first 22% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 010 smaller than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 009 smaller than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 012 smaller than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 76% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 024 bigger than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 17% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 012 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 37% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 034 bigger than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World.

The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 079 bigger than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 27% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 055 bigger than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 35% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 083 bigger than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female

employment) the region ranks on the first 19% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 083 bigger than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 15% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 024 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 98% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 027 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 022 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 97% in the World.

2.263. Zambia

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 8.227.454. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 42% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 232.517*Year-454.016.054. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 232.517.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 4.875.360. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 47% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 125.644*Year-246.789.812. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 125.644. The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 047 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 067 bigger than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 18% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 077 bigger than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average

of 059 bigger than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 23% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 009 smaller than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 004 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 013 smaller than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 79% in the World.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 024 smaller than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 019 smaller than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 83% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 028 smaller than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 73% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 009 smaller than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 94% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 028 smaller than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 013 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 58% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 013 bigger than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 54% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 013 bigger than the World average:

006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 61% in the World.

2.264. Zimbabwe

The study of indicator: Population, total during - highlights an average of 9.396.634. Also for Population, total the region ranks on the first 43% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 224.169*Year-436.250.847. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 224.169.

The indicator: Labor force, total during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 5.815.466. Also for Labor force, total the region ranks on the first 46% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: 001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 148.523*Year-291.675.527. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator grow with 148.523.The analysis of: Labor force, female (% of total labor force) during 1990-2016 highlights an average of 048 bigger than the World average: 039. Also for Labor force, female (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 9% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) during - highlights an average of 064 bigger than the World average: 036. Also for Employment in agriculture (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 8% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 072 bigger than the World average: 038. Also for Employment in agriculture, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 10% in the World. Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 057 bigger than the World average: 035. Also for Employment in agriculture, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 6% in the World.

The indicator: Employment in industry (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 011 smaller than the World average: 021. Also for Employment in industry (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 91% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year+0.618. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.000.The analysis of indicator: Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 005 smaller than the World average: 015. Also for Employment in industry, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 92% in the World. Employment in industry, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 016 smaller than the World average: 025. Also for Employment in industry, male (%

of male employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World. Time regression analysis reveals a correlation coefficient value: -001 and a value of R Square: 001. The equation of linear regression is therefore: 0.000*Year+0.769. From this equation we can note that, every year, the indicator decreases with 0.000.

The analysis of: Employment in services (% of total employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 025 smaller than the World average: 043. Also for Employment in services (% of total employment) the region ranks on the first 13% in the World. The study of indicator: Employment in services, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 023 smaller than the World average: 047. Also for Employment in services, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 86% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Employment in services, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 026 smaller than the World average: 040. Also for Employment in services, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 96% in the World.

An overview of the indicator: Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 019 smaller than the World average: 050. Also for Wage and salaried workers, female (% of female employment) the region ranks on the first 89% in the World. The analysis of indicator: Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 043 smaller than the World average: 049. Also for Wage and salaried workers, male (% of male employment) the region ranks on the first 88% in the World.

The indicator: Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 005 smaller than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) the region ranks on the first 29% in the World. The analysis of: Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 005 smaller than the World average: 007. Also for Unemployment, female (% of female labor force) the region ranks on the first 21% in the World. The study of indicator: Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) during 1991-2016 highlights an average of 006 bigger than the World average: 006. Also for Unemployment, male (% of male labor force) the region ranks on the first 41% in the World.

3. References

Ioan, C.A. & Ioan G. (2010). *Applied Mathematics in Micro and Macroeconomics*. Galati, Romania: Sinteze Publishers.

Ioan, C.A. & Ioan, G. (2012). *Mathæconomics*. Galați: Zigotto Publishers.

Ioan, G. & Ioan, C.A. (2017). *Macroeconomics*. Galați: Zigotto Publishers.

Ioan, C.A. (2017). *Chance - Between Finite And Infinite*. Galati, Romania: Zigotto Publishers.

*** World Bank national accounts data.

*** International Monetary Fund.